Mineral Reserves. The Company estimates that the Mineral Reserves at the Lavrinha mine, as at December 31, 2017 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral Reserve Category *</th>
<th>Tonnes</th>
<th>Gold Grade (g/t)</th>
<th>Contained Ounces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proven</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>451,400</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>23,365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Proven and Probable</strong></td>
<td><strong>470,400</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.61</strong></td>
<td><strong>24,385</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes*
1. The Mineral Reserves estimate is based on a designed pit, which has been made operational using $1,100/oz gold.
2. Mineral Reserve was estimated at a cut-off grade of 0.60 g/t Au and applying 35 % dilution factor.
3. Bulk density average of 2.78 was used.
4. Contained metal figures may not add due to rounding.
6. Mineral Reserve estimates for Lavrinha were reviewed and audited in 2017 by Farshid Ghazanfari, P.Geo. as a Qualified Person as that term is defined in NI 43-101.

Mineral Resources. The Company estimates that the Mineral Resources at the Lavrinha mine, as at December 31, 2017 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral Resource Category *</th>
<th>Tonnes</th>
<th>Gold Grade (g/t)</th>
<th>Contained Ounces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>28,750</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>777,750</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>61,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Measured and Indicated Resources</strong></td>
<td><strong>806,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.45</strong></td>
<td><strong>63,400</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>275,000</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>22,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes*
1. The Mineral Resource Estimate is based on an optimized pit shell using US$1,300/oz gold and at a cut-off grade of 0.50 g/t gold. Mining costs were considered at US$2.44/t and US$1.89/t for mineralized material and waste haulage, plant processing costs of US$10.24/t and G&A of US$3,800,000 per year at a process recovery of 93%.
2. A bulk density model based on rock type was used for volume to tonnes conversion with resources averaging 2.78 tonnes/m3.
3. Contained metal figures may not add due to rounding.
5. Mineral Resource estimates for Lavrinha were reviewed and audited in 2017 by Farshid Ghazanfari, P.Geo. as a Qualified Person as that term is defined in NI 43-101.

Mineral Resources. The Company estimates that the Mineral Resources at the PPQ mine, as at December 31, 2017 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral Resources Category *</th>
<th>Tonnes</th>
<th>Gold Grade (g/t)</th>
<th>Contained Ounces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>470,500</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>60,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>117,000</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>16,750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notes*

1. The mineral resource estimate is based on a Cut-Off Grade of 1.5 g/t Au derived from an Au price: US$1,275/oz, costs of US$29/t for mining, US$11/t for processing, US$10/t for G&A and US$7/t for mill feed surface transportation, at a 93% process recovery.
2. A bulk density model based on rock type was used for volume to tonnes conversion with resources averaging 2.77 tonnes/m³.
3. Mineral Resources are estimated from the 410 m EL to the 65 m EL, or from approximately 30 m depth to 500 m depth from surface.
4. End of the year (EOY) mining depletion model used to estimate remaining resources.
5. Contained metal figures may not add due to rounding.
6. Mineral Resource estimates for PPQ were reviewed and audited in 2017 by Farshid Ghazanfari, P.Geo. as a Qualified Person as that term is defined in NI 43-101.

Mineral Reserves. The Company estimates that the Mineral Reserves at the PPQ mine, as at December 31, 2017 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral Reserves Category</th>
<th>Tonnes</th>
<th>Gold Grade (g/t)</th>
<th>Contained Ounces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>239,000</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>28,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes*

1. Mineral Reserve was estimated using a cut off grade of 2.40 g/t Au and applying 23% stope dilution factor.
2. The cut-off grade (2.40 g/t Au) was based on a US$1,165/oz gold price, 93% metallurgical Au recovery, 99.99% payable, royalties and CEFEM tax totalling 3.5%, gold dore bar transport and refining costs totalling US$1.56/t; mine direct and mine indirect costs totalling US$58.08/t, US$12.50/t processing cost, and US$6.44/t processed for the projected share of the overall multi-mine project G&A cost that would be incurred by the proposed Pau-a-Pique underground mine project.

1. A bulk density model based on rock type was used for volume to tonnes conversion with resources averaging 2.77 tonnes/m³.
2. End of the year (EOY) mining depletion model used to estimate remaining resources.
3. Contained metal figures may not add due to rounding.
4. Mineral Reserve estimates for PPQ were reviewed and audited in 2017 by Farshid Ghazanfari, P.Geo. as a Qualified Person as that term is defined in NI 43-101.

The following description of the EPP Mine is the Executive Summary contained in the EPP Technical Report, which has been updated and conformed to be consistent with other disclosure within this AIF. The entire EPP Technical Report is incorporated by reference into this AIF and should be consulted for details beyond those incorporated herein.

1. Introduction

This report titled “Feasibility Study and Technical Report on the EPP Project, Mato Grosso, Brazil” (“Report” or “Technical Report”), was prepared to provide Aura Minerals Inc. (“Aura” or the “Company”) with a National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects (“NI 43-101”) Technical Report on the Ernesto/Lavrinha/Pau-a-Pique Deposits (“EPP Project” or “Project” or “Property”), located in the southwest of Mato Grosso state, near Pontes e Lacerda in Brazil. The EPP Project is 100% beneficially owned by Aura. Aura is a public company listed on the TSX, under the symbol “ORA”.

Aura, through its Brazilian subsidiaries, acquired the EPP Project from Yamana Gold Inc. (“Yamana”) in June 2016. The Project was initially studied by Yamana from 2009 to 2011, and was put into production in early 2013 until being placed on care and maintenance in late 2014.

The EPP Project is the third gold mining operation owned by Aura in this specific region of Brazil. The Company owns the operating Sao Francisco gold mine (in production since 2006) near the town of Pontes e Lacerda and owned the Sao Vicente gold mine that ceased operations in 2014 (production since 2009).
The EPP Project consists of three deposits, two that have been planned to be mined as underground operations and the third which is planned as an open pit operation. Three additional areas will be evaluated in 2017 and 2018.

- The Lavrinha open pit and the Ernesto underground deposit are located approximately 60 kilometres ("km") south of the Company's Sao Francisco mine and 12 km south of the town of Pontes e Lacerda. The Project's process plant is located at Ernesto.
- The Pau-a-Pique underground deposit is located approximately 47 km south of the Ernesto and Lavrinha deposits and process plant.
- Three exploration areas (Nosde, Japones and Pombihnas) are within 5 km of the process plant.

This Report supports a systematic sequence to launch three gold mines starting with the Lavrinha open pit gold deposit, followed by the re-start of the Pau-a-Pique underground gold deposit and subsequently the development and production of the Ernesto underground gold deposit.

The purpose of this Report is to provide a NI 43-101 Feasibility Study and Technical Report ("the Report") on the EPP Project. P&E understands that the Company may use this Report for internal decision making purposes and will be filed as required under applicable Canadian securities laws. The Report may also be used by the Company to support financings.

The current P&E Updated Mineral Resource Estimate presented in this Report has been prepared in full conformance and compliance with the "CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves – Definitions and Guidelines" as referred to in NI 43-101 and Form 43-101F, Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects and in force as of the effective date of this Report, which is July 31, 2016.

I. Location and Ownership

The Ernesto, Lavrinha and Pau-a-Pique gold deposits are near the town of Pontes e Lacerda, approximately 450 km west of Cuiabá, the capital of the Brazilian state of Mato Grosso. The Ernesto Deposit is approximately 12 km southeast of Pontes e Lacerda.

The Ernesto Property comprises 1,412.89 ha of 6 mining rights held (legally or beneficially) by Mineração Apoena S.A. ("Apoena"), a company wholly-owned by Aura.

On April 30, 2015, Aura announced its agreement with Serra da Borda Mineração e Metalurgia ("SBMM"), a company affiliated with Yamana, to acquire, upon completion of certain conditions, the assets and liabilities of the Project. On June 23, 2016, the Company announced that it had completed the acquisition and has assumed operation control of the Project.

Aura provided a letter dated July 31, 2016, from Ryan Goodman, VP of Legal Affairs for Aura, which states that Apoena is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company.

As part of the acquisition, a 2% NSR royalty is payable to Yamana on gold ounces produced from the Project with respect to up to 1,000,000 collective ounces of gold, and thereafter, a 1% NSR on gold ounces produced from the Project.

A 0.5% NSR royalty is due to each landowner (one for Ernesto/Lavrinha, and one for Pau-a-Pique), proportional to the landowner’s surface rights. The Brazilian Mining Code provides that landowners are entitled to a royalty equivalent to 50% of the royalty due the government (the Financial Compensation for Exploitation of Mineral Resources – "CFEM"). The CFEM is calculated based on net income resulting from the sales of the mineral product, deducting taxes and costs of transport and insurance. In the case of gold, the rate of CFEM is 1%, thus the landowner royalty is 0.5%.

I. Accessibility, climate, local resources, infrastructure

The Ernesto and Lavrinha Properties are contiguous and can be accessed from Pontes e Lacerda by the federal (Brazil) highway BR-174 for 12 km and then following 2 km of gravel and dirt roads that offer year-round access to the Project. The Pau-a-Pique Deposit is approximately 73 km by road from Pontes e Lacerda, and approximately 47 km by dirt road from Ernesto. Pontes e Lacerda is approximately 450 km west of the Mato Grosso state capital of Cuiaba.

The region hosts the hot, tropical and semi-humid climate of the Mato Grosso state in west-central Brazil. The area has two well-defined seasons: one dry winter season, usually from April to October, when the temperature averages 20°C to 22°C, and a wet season that receives large amounts of rain during November to March, with daily temperatures averaging 30°C to 43°C. Average annual precipitation is estimated at 1,440 mm.
The Ernesto Property contains a 130 tonnes per hour carbon-in-leach (“CIL”) process plant, which includes crushing, grinding and tailing facilities with power supplied from the national grid via a 12 km 138 kV transmission line from Pontes e Lacerda. The Ernesto Property also contains a gate house, administration offices, core shack, explosives storage facility, and the mined-out Ernesto open pit and waste rock storage area. The Lavrinha Property is contiguous to Ernesto and does not contain any infrastructure. The Pau-a-Pique Property contains an underground mine that was operated by Yamana until late in 2014, and surface facilities for administration and maintenance.

1. History

Gold was first discovered at the Aguapeí Gold Belt by Portuguese settlers in the 18th century, around 1734, and it was mined from primary colluvial, alluvial or placer deposits. The most significant primary gold deposits were discovered at places today known as São Francisco Xavier and São Vicente mines, Rio Galera, Santana, Nossa Senhora do Pilar, Aguapeí, Cágado, Santa Bárbara and Lavrinha. Since then, gold mining activities were interrupted due to difficulties in operation and exhaustion of alluvial deposits.

Modern gold mining began in 1984 during a second gold rush at Alto Guapore Gold Province (1984-1997). Artisanal miners, after exhaustion of alluvial and colluvial deposits, discovered several small primary gold deposits close to Pontes e Lacerda, including Japonês, Nosde, Lavrinha, Ernesto (Copacel), Pombinhas and Cantina/Serra Azul deposits.

Approximately 6,000 artisanal miners carried out a large number of small operations (including panning, small underground workings and small scale process plants) around Pontes e Lacerda, Vila Bela da Santíssima Trinidad and Porto Esperidião cities. Gold production data in this period are not accurate, but it is estimated that approximately 5-6 tonnes of gold was produced between 1990 and 1995. In 1992, these artisanal mining activities attracted the attention of several mining companies, including Copacel, Minopar, Anglo American, WMC, Madison do Brasil, TVX Gold/Paulo Abib and Mineração Santa Elina (“MSE”).

Copacel and Minopar, local mining companies, were the first and main owners of exploration permits in the Ernesto District in the early 1990s. In 1992, Anglo American and WMC carried out intensive surface geochemical surveys along the belt, mainly stream sediment sampling. In 1993, Madison do Brasil, after acquisition of exploration permits from Copacel and Minopar, carried out a diamond drilling program at Japonês, Nosde, Lavrinha and Ernesto targets. In 1994, Madison do Brasil company assigned its mineral rights and transferred control of the exploration permits to TVX Gold. TVX Gold, in 1995, carried out additional drilling campaigns. In the same year TVX Gold transferred its mineral rights to MSE to capitalize on other business priorities. During this time MSE drilled nine more exploratory drill holes for a total of 1,711.77 m at the Lavrinha deposit and collected 683 samples.

1. Geological Setting and Deposit Types

The Pau-a-Pique and Ernesto-Lavrinha Deposits are situated in the Middle Proterozoic (ca. 1.0 Ga) Aguapeí belt, a foreland fold and thrust belt that overlies the Early Proterozoic and Middle Proterozoic terrains (Geraldes et al. 2001). The Aguapeí group in the Pau-a-Pique and Ernesto –Lavrinha areas is structurally marked by reverse faults, isoclinal folds and strong penetrative axial planar cleavage, often crenulated.

The Aguapeí Group is composed of conglomerate, sandstone (arenite) and siltstone that are unconformably deposited on the underlying basement in a braided fluvial to marine depositional environment. The metasediments occur within a fold and thrust belt that is deformed under brittle-ductile conditions and are commonly in tectonic contact with the basement. Strong hydrothermal alteration and associated gold mineralization occurs in association with the lower contact of the Aguapeí Group with the underlying basement.

In the Ernesto Deposit, the contact zone between the Aguapeí sediments and the underlying basement tonalite consists of a 5 m to 25 m thick magnetite-sericite schist unit, containing lenses and elongated bodies of quartz generally concordant with the foliation, and a 1 m to 3 m thick basal layer of intensely altered, crushed and decomposed rock. The magnetite-sericite schist apparently represents strongly altered and deformed sediment, probably a hydrothermally altered and sheared metapelite (mylonite).

The Lavrinha Deposit which is closely linked to the Ernesto Deposit has been interpreted as gold-rich quartz veins and veinlets with coarse grained pyrite occurring along shallow-dipping structure. The main difference with Ernesto is the position of the mineralization in the metasedimentary sequence. Gold mineralization is located along quartz boudins in highly sericitized rock and plunges to the north.
The Pau-a-Pique Deposit occurs in close association with the contact of the meta-tonalite basement and the overlying Aguapeí Group metasediments. The tonalite is metamorphosed with a foliated structure, but preserving the original igneous texture. The rocks are metamorphosed and deformed under lower green-schist facies conditions. Muscovite schist is developed in the contact between the metatonalites and metasediments and is an important host of mineralization. The muscovite schist has S-C structures and abundant shear bounded sigmoidal veins. The schist has a strong stretching lineation oriented at N20–50W that controls the form of the deposit and sub-surface mineralization.

The Ernesto-Lavrinha Deposits consists of gold-rich quartz veins and veinlets occurring along a relatively thick, shallow-dipping structure at the base of the metasedimentary sequence and within altered sulphidic horizons in overlying meta-arenite units. The basal structure is interpreted to be a low-angle detachment fault that has been folded and faulted together with the overlying stratigraphy. Gold mineralization is located along asymmetrical anticlines and synclines that plunge gently to the north and are cut by NW and NE-trending narrow faults. The gold mineralization occurs in three zones: Lower Trap, Middle Trap and Upper Trap.

The Lower Trap mineralized zone in Ernesto is widely developed within a mylonitic zone. The mylonitic zone is a deformed version of meta-arenite which was altered and intruded by quartz veining. The mylonitic zone often resembles a healed fault zone that developed along detachment structures. Mineralization in the Lower Trap is 130 m to 210 m wide, with an average thickness of 5 m and is more-or-less continuous for at least 1,000 m along its northern plunge direction. Alteration associated with gold mineralization within the mylonitic unit includes abundant quartz veins and veinlets with coarse-grained euhedral pyrite and medium grained bipyramidal crystalline magnetite. This alteration and mineralization occurs in mylonitic zones near the base of the detachment fault.

The Upper Trap, which is widely developed in the Lavrinha Deposit, occurs in metapelitic rocks (hematite sericite schist) in dilation zones of the intensely deformed synclinal troughs. The Upper and Intermediate traps share similar alteration and mineralization suites.

The Ernesto-Lavrinha Deposits are described as detachment-style gold deposits, where typically gold mineralization is associated with low-angle to flat detachment faults, generally with a normal (extensional) sense of movement which consistently places younger units over older units.

The Pau-a-Pique gold mineralization is associated with intense hydrothermal alteration, and correlates with the occurrence of pyrite, sulphide alteration, quartz veins and sericitization. The envelope of the mineralized zone is approximately 550 m long, maximum of 15 m thick and 400 m deep in the largest extension. In the deeper levels the most common hydrothermal alteration with gold enrichment is strong albite-anorthosic quartz veining associated with chloritization and pyrite. In the shallow levels the most pervasive alteration is silicification, represented by a strong injection of quartz veins and weaker gold enrichment. The albite alteration probably represents deeper and hot sources of the hydrothermal feeder. The Pau-a-Pique Deposit is developed within brecciated-sheared host rocks which are strongly foliated and moderately metamorphosed and can be described as structurally controlled orogenic gold lode deposit.

### Exploration

Both Ernesto and Lavrinha were subject to multiple exploration programs by Yamana from 2003 to 2013. The exploration programs carried out during this period included rock chip sampling, channel sampling, soil sampling, detailed geological mapping and diamond drilling. From 2003 to 2009 drill programs were carried out only on Ernesto’s near-mine areas including Lavrinha. From 2009 to 2013 all exploration efforts were focused on the Ernesto District including in-fill drilling of the Lavrinha Deposit. The main goals were to define higher grade mineral resources in the Ernesto near-mine target area, mainly looking for Lavrinha open pit mineral resources.

In 2015 Aura carried out detailed geological mapping of the Lavrinha Deposit focused on outlining geological, mineralized domains and alteration. During the mapping, lack of drill information near the surface extension of the mineralized shoots was identified. Aura drilled 21 diamond drill holes for a total of 997.4 m of drilling, with 845 samples analyzed by gold fire assay at the São Francisco Mine laboratory, with check assays on the mineralized intervals from field duplicates sent to SGS Laboratories.

Exploration in Pau-a-Pique was carried out by Yamana during 2005-2006 including geological, channel sampling and face sampling from mineralized zones that were exposed by Garimpeiros (artisanal miners). Chip sampling was conducted to identify lithology and alteration. A total of 600 chips, soil and trench samples were taken in 2008.
1. Drilling

11,128 m of drilling was conducted on the Ernesto mineral resource area by Yamana in 2005. In 2006, a further 7,777 m of diamond drilling was done on the Property, focusing on targets near the resource area, and included a few exploration holes. Yamana drilled 29 holes totalling 2,820 m in 2009.

In 2015, 3,076.2 m of drilling from 21 holes was conducted on the Ernesto resource area by Aura focusing only on the Lower Trap where resources were deemed to be potentially suitable for an underground operation. From these 21 holes, 15 holes were in-fill drilling to delineate existing resources and 6 other holes were geotechnical holes to assess the geotechnical characteristics of host rocks for a possible underground operation. The in-fill drilling focused on the centre of the Lower Trap deposit where the majority of previous drilling was concentrated and required limited drilling to upgrade Inferred mineral resources to the Indicated category and to provide increased confidence in the resource classification.

Yamana conducted exploration drilling on the Lavrinha Property in 2010 and 2011. 28 drill holes, totalling approximately 5,200 m were advanced surrounding the artisanal mining shafts in order to add mineral resources. In 2013, 55 drill holes totaled 10,013.13 m of diamond drilling, with 9,446 samples analyzed for gold using fire assay at ALS Chemex Laboratories, and 318 bulk density determinations were made.

In 2014, a Yamana drilling campaign at Lavrinha consisted of a total of 78 drill holes for 8,145.11 m of diamond drilling, and 5,916 samples were analyzed by gold fire assay. 48 drill holes for 4,781.31 m and 3,642 samples were analyzed at ALS Chemex Laboratories by Yamana in 2014. The remaining 30 drill holes for 3,363.80 m and 2,274 samples were analyzed by Aura in 2015 at SGS Laboratories.

In 2015 Aura identified a lack of drill information near the surface extension of the Lavrinha mineralization observed in the outcrops, which was not considered in the resource model generated by Yamana. Aura decided to carry out a confirmatory drill campaign to provide better resource definition and improved confidence in estimated grades. The campaign consisted of 21 drill holes and 997.4 m of diamond drilling, with 845 samples analyzed by gold fire assay at the São Francisco Mine laboratory, and checks on the mineralized intervals with field duplicates sent to SGS Laboratories.

Yamana conducted four drilling campaigns on Pau-a-Pique with its first two completed in 2006. 25 holes totalling 8,099.9 m were drilled. A third campaign of 14 drill holes took place in 2007, totalling 7,506.2 m. This program was focused on expanding the mineral resource along the NW strike and delineation at depth. The fourth drill campaign, carried out in 2008, was a combination of in-fill and exploratory drilling. 30 holes totalling 7,285.25 m were drilled. The main focus of the fourth campaign was to convert 51% of the 2008 Inferred resources into the Measured/Indicated categories and to define the limits of the mineral resource.

Aura conducted an underground drill campaign at Pau-a-Pique in 2015-2016. 27 holes totalling 3,160.0 m were drilled. Drilling was concentrated mainly on NW strike and NW down plunge extensions of the Pau-a-Pique main lens (P1 zone) below current development levels. Another objective was to delineate mineral resources in the SE portion of deposit (P3 and P4 zones) below mined-out levels to add and convert Inferred mineral resources to the Indicated category.

1. Sample Preparation and Data Verification

It is P&E’s opinion that sample preparation, security, analytical procedures and assay verification for both the Ernesto and Pau-a-Pique Properties drilling and sampling programs were adequate for the purposes of this Mineral Resource Estimate. It is MCB’s opinion that sample preparation, security analytical procedures and assay verification for the Lavrinha Property drilling and sampling programs were adequate for the purposes of this Mineral Resource Estimate.

1. Mineral Processing and Metallurgical testing

A 2010 NI 43-101 Feasibility Study by Ausenco do Brasil Engenharia Ltda (“Ausenco”) prepared for Yamana describes the metallurgical testwork performed on two samples obtained from the Ernesto belt, one from the Japones area and the second sample from the Ernesto area, as well as testwork results for Pau-a-Pique mineralized material, with highlights noted below.

The Ernesto metallurgical sample had a gold grade of 4.5 g/t Au and was taken from the Intermediate Trap area. The sample underwent mineralization characterization, grinding, gravity and bottle leaching testwork. Gravity testwork results showed a 68.7% recovery of free gold with an overall mass pull of 1.72%. At the same time, the gold extraction was above 95% in all cyanidation bottle tests with no significant differences in the extraction results with or without carbon and regardless of the grinding conditions.
The Pau-a-Pique metallurgical sample had a gold grade of 5.63 g/t Au. The gravity concentration results showed a high free gold recovery at 61%. The cyanidation bottle tests showed gold recoveries between 80% and 90% without carbon; however, gold recoveries increased to above 90% in the presence of carbon averaging 94.5% for tests with top size of 0.149 mm and 0.074 mm.

The EPP process plant commenced operation in 2013 and was operated until October, 2014, receiving feed from the Ernesto open pit and the Pau-a-Pique underground mine. During its first year, the plant went through a production ramp-up stage which resulted in consistent process performance improvements over its quarters. Average plant gold recovery was 92.3% of which 41% came from gravity gold and the other 51% was extracted via the CIL circuit.

Although the ramp-up stage took place in 2013, plant performance in 2014 was not as favourable due to several issues at the mine level that resulted in a lack of consistent ore feed supply and the introduction of other feed sources from areas where artisan mining activity was taking place on the concession.

The 2016 metallurgical testwork was carried out on multiple metallurgical samples of the three deposits (Ernesto, Lavrinha and Pau-a-Pique). Samples were selected from available core and coarse rejects to represent scheduled half years according to the production forecast. The testwork was performed in two different laboratories; SGS Lakefield performed the grinding work, which consisted of SAG Power Index (“SPI”) and Bond Work Index (“BWI”) measurements, while SGS Geosol, in Belo Horizonte Brazil, performed the hydrometallurgical testwork.

The grinding testwork in all samples showed the ore to be relatively soft both in the coarse and fine fractions, with SPI averaging 27 minutes and the Bond Work Index (“BWI”) averaging 9.3 kWh/tonne. All samples tested had a calculated treatment rate well above the design rate of 130 tph (i.e. 3,000 tpd). Therefore, the installed grinding capacity should easily handle future ore throughput forecast for the Project (i.e. between 21,500 tonnes/month and 55,000 tonnes/month) and possibly grind finer since there is available capacity in the semi-autogenous grinding (“SAG”) mill.

The hydrometallurgical test programme was designed to follow the existing plant flowsheet as closely as possible. Two different grind sizes were investigated, namely 125 microns and 106 microns. For the Lavrinha and Pau-a-Pique samples the average gold recovery in the Knelson MD3 laboratory concentrator was higher for the finer grind and averaged 77.78% versus 76.4% for the coarse grind. The gravity concentrate was subsequently intensively leached for 8 and 12 hours, with the 12 hour recovery being substantially better. The gravity tailings were leached, using a CIL method, to recover the remaining gold and the results indicated that the 24 hour retention time in the plant circuit will be adequate. Overall recoveries, taking into account gravity recovery, intensive leach recovery and CIL recovery, were calculated and averaged 94.0% for the Lavrinha samples and 93.6% for the Pau-a-Pique samples.

There were problems with the Ernesto testwork in that the gold recoveries were unexpectedly low. This was thought to be due to the higher grade (twice and three times as high compared to Pau-a-Pique and Lavrinha ores) and a lack of free cyanide found at the end of the leach period. The 106 micron Knelson tailings were re-leached using a higher concentration at the start of the test and also using 100 g/t of Leach Aid. There was a substantial increase in recovery for the re-leach tests, averaging 4.36% points higher. The overall recoveries averaged 86.1% for the Ernesto samples.

For the Y3 H1 sample a complete retest was carried out, at the 106 micron grind, this being the only sample with sufficient weight remaining to allow it. The gravity recovery was down several percentage points but the intensive leach recovery increased from the previous 92.4% to 99.7% with the use of Leach Aid. This is an increase of 7.3%. In view of this result a case can be made for increasing the other intensive leach recoveries, which could make the overall recoveries for Ernesto increase to 88% levels.

I. Mineral Resource Estimates

The Ernesto Mineral Resource Estimate was estimated at a cut-off grade of 1.5 g/t Au and is summarized in Table 1.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Category</th>
<th>Tonnes (t)</th>
<th>Au (g/t)</th>
<th>Contained Au oz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>734,000</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>158,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>308,000</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>62,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) CIM Definitions were followed for the Mineral Resource Estimate.

(3) The Mineral Resource Estimate is estimated from surface diamond drilling and core sampling by conventional 3D block modelling based on wireframing at a 1.5 g/t Au cut-off grade and ordinary kriging grade interpolation.

(4) For the purpose of the Mineral Resource Estimate, assays were capped at 40 g/t Au.


(6) A bulk density model based on rock type was used for volume to tonnes conversion with resources averaging 2.62 tonnes/m³.

(7) Mineral Resources are estimated from the 380 m EL to the 96 m EL, or from approximately 50 m depth to 150 m depth from surface.

(8) Mineral Resources are classified as Indicated and Inferred based on drill hole spacing, interpreted geologic continuity and quality of data.

(9) Mineral Resources, which are not Mineral Reserves, do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of Mineral Resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues.

(10) The quantity and grade of reported Inferred Resources in this estimation is uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these Inferred Resources as an Indicated or Measured Mineral Resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to an Indicated or Measured Mineral Resource category.

The Mineral Resource Estimate for the Lavrinha Deposit has been reported above a 0.5 g/t Au cut-off grade, inside an optimized pit shell with a gold price of US$1,300/oz, and is summarized in Table 1.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Category</th>
<th>Tonnes (t)</th>
<th>Au (g/t)</th>
<th>Contained Au oz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>74,000</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>5,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>1,226,000</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>88,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured + Indicated</td>
<td>1,300,000</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>94,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>283,000</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>22,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) CIM Definitions were followed for the Mineral Resource Estimate.

(2) The Mineral Resource Estimate for the Lavrinha Deposit was prepared under the supervision of Marcelo Batelochi, AusIMM (CP 205477).

(3) Mineral Resources, which are not Mineral Reserves, do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of Mineral Resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues.

(4) The quantities and grades of reported Inferred Resources in this estimation is uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these Inferred Resources as an Indicated or Measured Mineral Resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to the Indicated or Measured Mineral Resource category.

(5) The Mineral Resource Estimate is based on an optimized pit shell using US$1,300/oz gold and at a cut-off grade of 0.50 g/t gold. Mining costs were considered at US$2.44/t and US$1.89/t for mineralized material and waste haulage, plant process costs of US$10.24/t and G&A of US$3,800,000 per year at a process recovery of 93%.

(6) A bulk density model based on rock type was used for volume to tonnes conversion with resources averaging 2.77 tonnes/m³.

(7) Surface topography as of December 31, 2015.

(8) Contained metal may not sum due to rounding.
The Pau-a-Pique Mineral Resource Estimate was estimated at a cut-off grade of 1.5 g/t Au and is summarized in Table 1.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Category</th>
<th>Tonnes (t)</th>
<th>Au (g/t)</th>
<th>Contained Au oz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>519,000</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>67,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>117,000</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>16,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) CIM Definitions were followed for the Mineral Resource Estimate.


(3) The Mineral Resource Estimate is estimated from surface and underground diamond drilling and core sampling and underground chip sampling by conventional 3D block modelling based on wireframing at a 1.5 g/t Au cut-off grade and ordinary kriging grade interpolation.

(4) For the purpose of the Mineral Resource Estimate, assays were capped at 50 g/t Au and composites >25 g/t Au were restricted to 12.5 m area of influence.


(6) A bulk density model based on rock type was used for volume to tonnes conversion with resources averaging 2.77 tonnes/m³.

(7) Mineral Resources are estimated from the 410 m EL to the 65 m EL, or from approximately 30 m depth to 500 m depth from surface.

(8) Mineral Resources are classified as Indicated and Inferred based on drill hole spacing, interpreted geologic continuity and quality of data.

(9) Mineral Resources, which are not Mineral Reserves, do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of Mineral Resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues.

(10) The quantity and grade of reported Inferred Resources in this estimation are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these Inferred Resources as an Indicated or Measured Mineral Resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to an Indicated or Measured Mineral Resource category.

The combined Mineral Resource Estimate for the Project is presented in Table 1.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Mineral Resource estimate for the project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measured &amp; Indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonnes (t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavrinha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ernesto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pau-a-Pique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Measured &amp; Indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonnes (t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavrinha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ernesto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pau-a-Pique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Inferred</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Contained metal may not sum in the above table due to rounding
1. **Mineral Reserve Estimates**

The Mineral Reserve Estimate for the Ernesto Deposit was determined at a 2.35 g/t Au cut-off grade, as of an effective date of July 31, 2016, and is presented in Table 1.5.

### Table 0.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ernesteo Deposit: Ernesto Mineral Reserve Estimate (1-5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reserve Category</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) The Mineral Reserve Estimate is as of July 31, 2016.

(2) The Mineral Reserve Estimate was developed from the Mineral Resource Estimate model prepared by P&E. The Probable Mineral Reserves were derived from Indicated Mineral Resources.

(3) The cut-off grade (2.35 g/t Au) was based on a US$1,165/oz gold price, 93% metallurgical Au recovery, 99.99% payable, royalties and CEFEM tax totalling 3.5%, gold dore bar transport and refining costs totalling US$0.45 / g Au, mine direct and mine indirect costs totalling US$52.41/ t, US$10.30/t processing cost, and US$6.12/t processed for the projected share of the overall multi-mine project G&A cost that would be incurred by the proposed Ernesto underground mine project. The geological continuity of the mineralization was assessed for the cut-off grade.

(4) The Mineral Reserve Estimate tonnage and mined metal have been rounded to reflect the accuracy of the estimate.

(5) The NI 43-101 Mineral Reserve Estimate for the Lower Trap portion of the Ernesto Deposit set out in the table above has been reviewed and approved by David Orava, M.Eng., P. Eng., of P&E Mining Consultants Inc., who is a Qualified Person ("QP") , and who is independent of the Company.

The Mineral Reserve Estimate for the Lavrinha Deposit was determined at a cut-off grade of 0.48 g/t Au and is presented in Table 1.6.

### Table 0.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lavrinha Deposit: Lavrinha Mineral Reserve Estimate (1-7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reserve Category</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) CIM definitions were followed for the Mineral Reserve Estimate.

(2) The Mineral Reserve Estimate is as of July 31, 2016.

(3) The Mineral Reserve Estimate for the Lavrinha Deposit was prepared under the supervision of Marcelo Batelochi, Ausimm (CP 205477).

(4) The Mineral Reserve Estimate was at a cut-off grade of 0.48 g/t Au.

(5) The Lavrinha Mineral Reserve Estimate was at an average short-term gold price of US$1,100 per ounce.

(6) Bulk density average was 2.78 t/m3.

(7) Numbers may not add due to rounding.

The Mineral Reserve Estimate for the Pau-a-Pique Deposit was determined at a cut-off grade of 2.40 g/t Au and is presented in Table 1.7.
Table 0.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reserve Category</th>
<th>Tonnes (t)</th>
<th>Au (g/t)</th>
<th>Contained Au oz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>320,000</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>33,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) The Mineral Reserve Estimate is as of July 31, 2016.

(2) The Mineral Reserve Estimate was developed from the Mineral Resource Estimate model prepared by P&E. The Probable Mineral Reserves were derived from Indicated Mineral Resources.

(3) The cut-off grade (2.40 g/t Au) was based on a US$1,165/oz gold price, 93% metallurgical Au recovery, 99.99% payable, royalties and CEFEM tax totalling 3.5%, gold doré bar transport and refining costs totalling US$1.56/t, mine direct and mine indirect costs totalling US$58.08/t, US$12.50/t processing cost, and US$6.44/t processed for the projected share of the overall multi-mine project G&A cost that would be incurred by the proposed Pau-a-Pique underground mine project.

(4) The Mineral Reserve Estimate tonnage and mined metal have been rounded to reflect the accuracy of the estimate.

(5) The NI 43-101 Mineral Reserve Estimate for the Pau-a-Pique Deposit set out in the table above has been reviewed and approved by Alexandru Veresezan, P. Eng., of P&E Mining Consultants Inc., who is a Qualified Person (“QP”) and who is independent of the Company.

The total Mineral Reserve Estimate for the Project is presented in Table 1.8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 0.8</th>
<th>total Mineral Reserve Estimate for the Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proven</td>
<td>Tonnes (t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavrinha</td>
<td>67,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Proven</td>
<td>67,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Tonnes (t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavrinha</td>
<td>1,043,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ernesto</td>
<td>868,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pau-a-Pique</td>
<td>320,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Probable</td>
<td>2,231,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Proven + Probable</td>
<td>2,298,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contained metal may not sum in the above table due to rounding

1. Mining Methods

1. Ernesto Underground

Due to its nature of gentle and variable shallow dip and thickness, the Ernesto Deposit will be extracted by the Drift and Fill mining method, using a combination of drifting in ore and transverse primary and secondary small stopes in a 32%-36%-32% drift/primary/secondary tonnage ratio. The deposit is relatively close to surface at a maximum depth of approximately 170 m and will be accessed by one main ramp portal, with a second portal for definition drilling access and ventilation.

Backfill material will be waste rock for secondary stopes and ore drifts and cemented rock fill (“CRF”) for all primary stopes. Waste rock to fulfill the required backfill quantities will be obtained from two sources; the primary source will be from mine waste development and the secondary source will from the existing Ernesto open pit waste rock storage facility.

A six month pre-production period will be followed by approximately 3.5 years of production to mine an estimated 0.87 Mt of ore at an average grade of 5.03 g/t Au. Ore production will average 800 tpd.

The majority of underground mining activities at Ernesto will use Aura’s own employees, with external contractors or suppliers to undertake the supply of explosives, piping and services, ground support consumables, cement supply for the CRF plant, and other specialised tasks. Aura will have 100% ownership of all major fixed plant components used at Ernesto. Activities such as diamond drilling and other specialized activities or Project work will be contracted.
1. Lavrinha Open Pit

Approximately 1.11 Mt of ore at an average grade of 1.69 g/t Au and 14.0 Mt of waste rock will be mined from the Lavrinha open pit over a 2.5 year period. The overall strip ratio for Lavrinha is 12.6:1 with mining conducted 365 days per year by a contractor. The contract is full service and includes providing all mining equipment, drilling, blasting, loading, hauling and maintenance. Total material movement rates for the LOM range from 15,000 to 25,000 tpd.

Conventional truck and hydraulic shovels will be utilized. Four excavators, supported by three front-end loaders, will load a fleet of ten 38-tonne trucks and five 25-tonne trucks. Ore will be transported to the primary crusher and run-of-mine (“ROM”) pad, and waste material will be hauled to a nearby waste rock storage facility.

1. Pau-a-Pique Underground

Mining at Pau-a-Pique will be conducted by a modified Avoca choke blast stoping method with ore transported to the ROM pad on surface by 30 tonne haulage trucks operating through the main ramp. Ore will be subsequently hauled on a 47 km surface road to the Ernesto processing plant. Primary access to the underground mine is via a single portal located next to the main mining office.

Approximately 0.32 Mt of ore at an average grade of 3.24 g/t Au will be mined over a 17 month period at an average of 850 tpd when the Project achieves full production. Once the deposit has been depleted most of the equipment and operators will be transferred to the Ernesto operation.

The stoping method applied to the Area 7 and Area 8, NW, and P3 and P4 ore bodies will be via Hanging Wall (“HW”) access ore drives with levels spaced at 15 m and 21 m vertical intervals, for the upper and lower areas of the deposit, respectively. The upper and lower areas will be separated by a sill pillar. Unconsolidated waste rock will be used to backfill the stopes.

The majority of underground mining activities will utilize Aura’s employees, with external contractors or suppliers to undertake the supply of explosives, piping and services, ground support consumables, truck haulage underground and on surface and other specialized tasks (i.e. site security, doré bar transportation, etc.). Aura has 100% ownership of all major fixed plant components utilized at the mine.

1. Recovery Methods and process design

The Project’s gold processing plant, located next to the Ernesto Deposit, was commissioned in 2012 and treated ores from Pau-a-Pique and the Ernesto open pit until its closure in December 2014. It is centrally located to these deposits and has a capacity of 3,000 tonnes per day ("tpd") through a conventional carbon-in-leach process and is designed to treat up to 1 Mtpy feed. The process includes crushing, grinding, gold extraction/recovery and cyanide detoxification stages followed by final deposition in a tailings storage facility.

The process plant flowsheet is based on a low-risk proven technological configuration for processing gold bearing feed. A primary jaw crusher is located at the front-end of the process plant. ROM feed will be blended and fed through the plant’s primary screen. The screen oversize is crushed and the combined crushed feed is ground in a single-stage, closed-circuit SAG mill.

Approximately 25% of the mill cyclone underflow feeds a gravity-gold recovery circuit. The grinding circuit product is thickened and then pumped to a leach tank that is followed by six CIL tanks in series. CIL tailings are treated in a cyanide reduction tank where cyanide is chemically decomposed. Final tailings are pumped to a tailings dam.

Loaded carbon, recovered from the first CIL tank, reports to the desorption area. Gold is stripped from the carbon into a solution and electroplated from solution onto stainless steel cathodes. Dried cathode sludge and flux are mixed and smelted to produce gold doré.

Mill feed from Ernesto and Lavrinha will be transported to the process plant by haul trucks internally within the mine property. Mill feed from Pau-a-Pique will be transported via a public 47 km road section. This road will require ongoing maintenance by the mine.

1. Project Infrastructure
Most of the Project’s infrastructure such as fresh water access, power line bringing energy to the different areas of the Project (including Pau-a-Pique underground mine) and access roads were built by the previous Project owner and have been preserved. The capital requirements will be further reduced by the planned reutilization and transfer of Pau-a-Pique’s infrastructure and mine fleet to the newly developed Ernesto underground upon completion of the scheduled ore production at Pau-a-Pique.

The Project area is suitable for year-round mining, and has adequate access infrastructure that was developed during the previous 2013-14 operating period. Minor road maintenance work has been identified and will be carried out in early 2017.

Aura is updating the landowner agreements for resumption of ore haulage along an approximate 47 km stretch of the existing access road between Pau-a-Pique and highway BR-174. This process is well underway and no impediments are anticipated.

Fresh water for the Project is acquired from the Lavrinha Creek located 3.8 km from the processing plant and pumped at a rate of 70 m³/hr through an 8 inch HDPE pipeline. There are two water treatment plants at the Project, one installed at the Ernesto camp with a treatment capacity of 6 m³/h and a second water treatment plant installed at the Pau-a-Pique camp with a treatment capacity of 3 m³/h.

A 12 km 138 kilovolt (“kV”) electrical transmission line was built as part of the infrastructure for the Project which connects to the National grid from the Pontes e Lacerda substation. The Project distribution network includes a 34.5 kV transmission line to Pau-a-Pique with all other primary distribution at 13.8 kV, which is then stepped down at the various substations.

The total electrical load installed at Ernesto is currently estimated at 7.35 MW (existing plant and on-site infrastructure). When Ernesto underground mining activities start, a maximum of 2.8 MW of electrical installed load will be added to the overall consumption. The installed substation and the existing power infrastructure will be suitable to address the future energy requirements of the Project.

The total electrical load installed at Pau-a-Pique is 1.91 MW. The current transmission line is adequate to supply enough energy to the Project restart. The transformer installed at Pau-a-Pique has a 3 MVA power capacity.

The office area at Ernesto is located adjacent to the process plant and includes a main office building (which incorporates training and first-aid areas), a change house, a cafeteria, a chemical and metallurgical laboratory, a workshop and a warehouse area with a storage yard. The number of people at the Pau-a-Pique site is less than Ernesto and the size of the facilities there reflects this.

A tailings storage facility is located within the premises of the Project and is designed to store tailings from the process plant, which will process feed from the three different mines. The tailings dam crest is 6 m wide. Upstream and downstream slope ratios are 1V:2H. The tailings dam has an internal drainage system consisting of a vertical sand filter and a horizontal drainage blanket made of fine crushed stones and sand. There is a rock sump and return water pump at the drain terminus.

The tailings storage facility design accounted for a total volume of stored tailings of 5.7M m³ over a span of 7.3 years of Project life. The original design considered three stages: Stage I with a total storage capacity of 2.3M m³, Stage II with a storage capacity of 3.6M m³ and Stage III with a capacity of 7.1M m³ to support a total of 7.3 years of operation.

Stage I is currently built with a dam crest elevation of 339 m and a total storage capacity of 2.3M m³ and a maximum safe storage capacity of 2.16M m³. The total volume stored, as of May 2016, is 1.12M m³ of tailings and an additional 0.4M m³ of water for a total stored volume of 1.5M m³, leaving an additional 0.6M m³ of available capacity in the existing Stage I tailings storage facility.

The Company engaged Tierra Group International Ltd., an internationally recognized tailings engineering firm, to review the current Tailings Storage Facility’s (“TSF”) design and construction history; and based on the review, design future TSF expansions. The historical review is complete wherein Tierra Group found the existing TSF to have been designed and constructed using satisfactory industry standards of care to support initial operations. Tierra Group is currently advancing a detailed engineering investigation and design to expand the TSF.

The design work contemplates raising the dam height 3 m. (elevation 342 m), and maintaining 2H:1V upstream and downstream dam slopes. A field geotechnical investigation is defined to corroborate geotechnical parameters used in the Stage I design, and establish those for the Stage II design.

A tailings deposition plan has been developed, which prescribes adding tailings discharge points in the north and east impoundment to extend the life of the Phase II TSF to 2.3 years. Table 1.9 shows tailings storage capacity of Stages I and II.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Dam Crest Elevation (m)</th>
<th>Tailings Discharge Elevation (m)</th>
<th>Incremental Volume of Dam (m$^3$)</th>
<th>Tailings Storage Cum. (Mt)</th>
<th>Remaining Capacity (Years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>338.5</td>
<td>230,000</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>341.5</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Additional discharge point at the eastern end of impoundment.

The Stage II final design will require an additional 90,000 m$^3$ of fill be placed downstream of the existing dam. The resultant facility will have a footprint area approximately equal to 155,000 m$^2$, which is nominally 5% greater than its current footprint area. Tierra Group is expected to complete the design work by January 2017.

I. Market Studies and Contracts

Aura does not have any forward sales or streaming gold contracts in place that are applicable to the Project, and future gold revenue will be according to spot prices on public markets.

The base case financial model for the Project utilizes a gold price of US$1,300/oz. This price remains fixed for the life of the Project. For comparison, the 48-month trailing average price for gold that existed on the effective date of this Technical Report was approximately US$1,317/oz.

Aura’s wholly-owned Brazilian operating company Apoena has a contract with Umicore Brasil Ltda. to refine its gold and silver. The contract was updated on January 1, 2016, for sampling, analysis and refining services.

Apoena has a contract with Brink’s - Segurança e Transporte de Valores Ltda. for the shipment of up to 120 kg of doré or $R10,500,000 value per shipment. The contract is dated November 13, 2016.

Aura has contracted Dinex Engenharia Mineral Ltda. to mine the Lavrinha open pit deposit. The contract is based on haul distances and unit costs per tonne for waste and ore applied to the Lavrinha mine plan, plus unit costs for auxiliary equipment usage. Equipment maintenance is included in the unit costs. The major equipment in the fleet is specified as Volvo excavators, CAT dozers, Scania trucks and Sandvik drills. The contract term is 24 months, and is to be done by contract phase, with Phase I at 450kt/month to the end of April, 2017, and Phase II at 750kt/month to the end of mine life.

I. Environmental Studies, Permitting and Social or Community Impact

Aura has existing surface rights over most of the Project area either via direct ownership or agreements with landowners. Negotiations are in process for a remaining parcel in Lavrinha and a small portion of the Pau-a-Pique Project area. There are no communities or permanent dwellings within the Project footprint. Just under 234 ha of the Ernesto site’s surface property held by the Company is a designated legal reserve, in compliance with the Brazil Forest Code’s provisions pertaining to conservation for native vegetation in rural properties. Maintenance, monitoring and security of this legal reserve area is the responsibility of the Company.

Additional Project disturbance is primarily for Lavrinha mining and waste rock storage and is estimated to be in the order of 55 ha. Much of the Lavrinha pit area has been previously affected by smaller scale mining by others.

It is expected that noise, dust and vibration emissions from Project operations will be similar in scale to emissions during the 2013 to 2014 operating period.

Underground mining will utilize both cemented rock fill and unconsolidated waste fill in order to optimize ore recovery, and is not expected to generate waste rock for disposal at surface. The backfill process lessens the Project footprint and is also expected to minimize the potential for surface subsidence.
Acid rock characterization studies were conducted by the previous operator using samples consisting of a drillhole interval of mineralization along with the immediately adjacent 1 m of non-mineralized material. Three of the 25 Ernesto sample results and three of the 10 Pau-a-Pique sample results indicated potential for acid rock drainage. The Project cost model provides for additional test work in 2017 for tailings and waste rock.

A review of monitoring data indicates that the Company is complying with the monitoring, inspection and surveillance programs stipulated in operating licenses for Ernesto and Pau-a-Pique. Water quality monitoring results indicate that the existing facilities meet or exceed applicable federal effluent and receiving water standards.

Estimated fresh water consumption during the Project’s normal operation is 70.6 m$^3$/h, below the permitted license limit of 100 m$^3$/h from the existing water intake. Approximately 130 m$^3$/h is expected to be recycled from the tailings impoundment to the process plant. Discharges from the Ernesto site include controlled releases of excess tailings impoundment water, in order to maintain sufficient freeboard at all times. These planned releases are expected to occur on an as-required basis throughout the Project life. The Company reports that the most recent impoundment water release occurred from July 8 to August 18, 2016 and totalled 243,242 m$^3$. Water discharges at Pau-a-Pique includes excess water from underground dewatering, and a minor quantity of effluent from its permitted sewage treatment plant.

Project closure costs are estimated at US$6.0M, with an additional US$1.0M allocated for supporting studies. These costs were reviewed and found to be reasonable. The cost model assumes some closure-related expenditures during the operating period for studies and closure plan updates, as well as for decommissioning of completed mine areas such as the Pau-a-Pique underground workings. The Ernesto site has a native plant nursery with facilities for seed collection, processing and storage, composting, and propagation of up to 60,000 plants per year.

The Project has the required permits and authorizations to resume and continue mining operations at the Lavrinha open pit and the Pau-a-Pique underground mine, as well as to process ore at the Ernesto plant. Pau-a-Pique had its Mining Concession (Portaria de Lavra) granted on December 27, 2013. The Mining Concession for Lavrinha was requested on August 21, 2016 and is under review by the Nacional de Producao Mineral ("DNPM"), which is expected to be granted in due course. While the analysis of the application for the Mining Concession is not concluded, the Project obtained, on September 9, 2016, a special authorization (Guia de Utilização) to mine up to 50,000 t of ore. An application for extraction of an additional 250,000 t of mineralized material was submitted to the DNPM on November 23, 2016.

The Project has valid environmental licenses for both Lavrinha and Pau-a-Pique. The permits (Certificados de Registro – CR’s) for use of explosives and chemicals at Ernesto, and for use of explosives at Pau-a-Pique were issued on September 29, 2016.

Once the definitive Mining Concession has been issued, other pending authorizations for continued mining in Lavrinha including its definitive operating license and permit to construct a separate waste rock storage facility adjacent to the open pit, are anticipated to be issued from the State environmental authority.

1. **Capital and Operating Costs**

   1. **Capital Costs**

The development of Pau-a-Pique mine, including the Ernesto process plant and the majority of the site infrastructure, was effectively completed by the previous owner at the end of 2012. Therefore, the capital cost requirements of the Project are low.

The Lavrinha open pit is a contracted mining operation and the selection of the mining contractor has, after a rigorous competitive bidding process in Brazil, been completed. Therefore, there will not be any material capital costs associated with the operation of the Lavrinha open pit.

The Ernesto underground mine will benefit from the transferring of the existing Pau-a-Pique’s mobile fleet and infrastructure since these two deposits have been scheduled sequentially. The Ernesto mine design is compatible with the existing underground mining equipment at Pau-a-Pique.

The existing tailings storage facility will undergo an additional 3.0 m raise to increase its capacity for another two years. The design of this raise was originally done by DAM Engenharia do Brazil and it is currently being re-evaluated and validated by Tierra Group.

**Ernesto Underground Capex**
It is anticipated that the development of the Ernesto underground gold mine will commence once the Pau-a-Pique mine’s lateral development has been completed. Within the current evaluation of the Ernesto underground Project, additional mobile equipment has been included to achieve the mine production schedule and those units will be leased to purchase.

As per the current mine plan and schedule, Ernesto reaches full production after approximately six months from commencement. During this period, mining mainly consists of ore development and primary stope extraction. To expedite the planned production the Ernesto underground mine will be accessed via a twin ramp concept, with a Hanging Wall ("HW") development drift which will be primarily for definition drilling and ventilation, and a main access ramp which will serve for main haulage and fresh air intake. This arrangement will create a loop for traffic fluidity and will fulfill ventilation and secondary egress requirements.

Pre-production capital costs are estimated at US$6.36M over a five month period. The total capital cost for Ernesto has been estimated at US$23.0M which includes capitalized development, sustaining capital, allocated labour, and mobile equipment capital for the duration of the mine life. The capitalized development portion has been estimated at US$11.5M which will be required to fully develop the Ernesto underground mine including US$4.5M for pre-production and the remaining US$7.0M as sustaining capital costs required until the mine ceases operation.

Sustaining capital expenditure for the remainder of the mine life includes:

- CRF surface plant
- Office equipment and existing equipment repairs
- Road resurfacing (crushed/screen aggregates)
- Replacement of small item i.e. face pumps, fans, electrical distribution boxes

A summary of Ernesto total capital costs including pre-production and sustaining for the LOM at Ernesto is US$23.0M as shown in Table 1.10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital Expenditure</th>
<th>Total LOM US$M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Development Direct Cost</td>
<td>6.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirects (Equipment, Labour, Other)</td>
<td>16.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total CAPEX</strong></td>
<td><strong>22.97</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A closure cost for the Ernesto underground mine has been included in the consolidated financial model and was estimated at US$3.0M. This cost is not included in Table 1.10.

**Lavrinha Open Pit Capex**

The Lavrinha open pit mining operation is fully contracted and does not incur any material capital costs. Aura, using its many years of operating experience in the region, selected a reputable and reliable mining contractor for this operation.

**Pau-a-Pique Underground Capex**

In late 2014 the Pau-a-Pique underground mine was placed on care and maintenance. The existing infrastructure and installations are functional and require minimal work before mining recommences.

Sustaining capital expenditure over the mine life includes completion of outstanding work such as:

- Surface maintenance shop upgrades
- Equipment refurbishing mechanical work and associated parts
- Office equipment and existing equipment repairs
- Road resurfacing (crushed/screen aggregate)
- Small items (i.e. face pumps, fans, electrical distribution boxes).
Total Pau-a-Pique initial and sustaining capital for the LOM is estimated at US$7.8M as presented in Table 1.11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital Expenditure</th>
<th>Total LOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US$M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preproduction</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment Rental</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td>5.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total CAPEX</strong></td>
<td><strong>7.77</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The closure cost for Pau-a-Pique underground mine is not included in Table 1.11 but has been included in the consolidated financial model and is estimated at US$1.7M.

**Plant and Tailings Capex**

The gold processing plant was commissioned in 2012 which includes a state-of-the-art distributed control system and all associated instrumentation with all components currently fully functional.

An allowance of US$4.5M for sustaining capital projects at the plant level has been estimated over the 5.5 year LOM.

The existing tailings storage facility has capacity for one year of operation and the next dam raise was engineered by DAM Engenharia from Belo Horizonte. The estimated costs for the next raise are US$1.5M and the subsequent raise is estimated at US$2.2M for a total cost of US$3.7M over LOM.

**Closure Capex**

A total of US$7.0M has been estimated for Project closure capital at the end of the Project life.

1. **Operating Costs**

**Ernesto Underground Opex**

Ernesto operating cost first principle estimates have been built utilizing advance rate cycles for each heading that were applied against scheduled quantities. A summary of the Ernesto operating cost estimates is presented in Table 1.12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Cost Area</th>
<th>US$M</th>
<th>US$/t ore</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>43.12</td>
<td>49.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining Overhead</td>
<td>11.38</td>
<td>13.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operating Cost</strong></td>
<td><strong>54.50</strong></td>
<td><strong>62.81</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ernesto labour costs have been based on scheduled manpower requirements for the operations, in line with Aura’s organizational chart. Salaries and benefit structures are calculated in accordance with current prevailing salary structures in Brazil for the prescribed employment positions. The salary structures and labour rates are compliant with the provisions required under Brazilian tax law. All-in costs have been factored into the labour rates, including bonuses, overtime, sick leave, allowances for vehicle and accommodation (where relevant), annual leave, and health insurance and medical provisions.

Ernesto and Pau-a-Pique mining costs have been developed based on a schedule of first principle developed rates for underground production, development and diamond drilling. Costs of other inputs into the mining operations, including provision of power, water and services, are based on existing contract rates with external suppliers and estimated consumption rates.

**Lavrinha Open Pit Opex**
The Lavrinha open pit is a contracted operation and the costs associated with ore production and waste movement have been set as presented in Table 1.13. Aura has been actively mining in this area of Brazil for over half a decade utilizing mining contractors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Cost Area</th>
<th>Ore (US$/t)</th>
<th>Waste (US$/t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drilling</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blasting</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hauling</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aux. Equipment</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geology</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G&amp;A (Overhead)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL Mining Operating Cost</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.31</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.88</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pau-a-Pique Underground Opex

Pau-a-Pique operating cost estimates have been developed from first principles, utilizing historical advance rates, updated contractual rates for haulage, new consumables quotes and an up-to-date study on Aura’s labour rates. A summary by cost area is presented in Table 1.14.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Cost Area</th>
<th>US$M</th>
<th>US$/ t ore</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>16.55</td>
<td>51.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining Overhead</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>6.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operating Cost</strong></td>
<td><strong>18.55</strong></td>
<td><strong>57.93</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Costs of other inputs into the mining operations, including provision of power, water and services, are based on existing contract rates with external suppliers and estimated consumption rates.

Process Plant Opex

During the first 26 months of operation, the processing plant will treat an average of 55,000 tonnes of ore per month; this average throughput will be primarily from the Lavrinha open pit and partially from the Pau-a-Pique underground. After month 27, the Ernesto underground will become the sole source of ore feed to the plant as Lavrinha and Pau-a-Pique become depleted, and this will result in a lower average monthly throughput of 21,500 tonnes per month.

The processing costs are presented in two categories: fixed and variable costs. Fixed costs include plant labour and fixed contracts to operate the plant. Variable costs include all consumables, maintenance parts, power and other variable costs components. The processing cost for the 55 Kt/month production rate is estimated at US$12.5/t, and for the 21.5 Kt/month rate is estimated at US$21.3/t, as presented in Table 1.15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Breakdown</th>
<th>55Kt/month (’000 US$)</th>
<th>21.5Kt/month (’000 US$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labour Cost</td>
<td>153.7</td>
<td>135.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Cost</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Fixed Costs</strong></td>
<td><strong>193.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>161.7</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance Cost</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumables Cost</td>
<td>258.3</td>
<td>141.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Cost</td>
<td>156.0</td>
<td>101.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Variable Costs</strong></td>
<td><strong>492.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>295.6</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Monthly Cost (US$)</strong></td>
<td><strong>686.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>457.3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US$/t</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Process consumables and reagents for the process plant have been calculated on budgeted consumption rates and pricing provided by suppliers for initial first fill supply.

Labour costs were defined after a “Pesquisa de Remuneração e Benefícios” (i.e. salary survey) was conducted in early 2016 by Parametro RH, a human resources company based in Sao Paulo, Brazil. This survey provided average, maximum and minimum salaries and benefits for more than 150 employment positions based on 11 active mining companies operating in Brazil.

Maintenance costs have been estimated on planned maintenance requirements for ongoing operation of the process plant. Maintenance costs include general materials and spare parts used in the processing plant as well as small service contracts for electrical and mechanical activities. The total maintenance costs will fluctuate between US$30,400/month and US$45,650/month depending on whether the plant is running at 21.5Kt/month or 55Kt/month, respectively.

The Project has a current power supply contract with the Mato Grosso Energy Utility Company ("ENERGISA") which is valid until the end of 2017. Under this contract, the cost per megawatt-hour ("MWh") is R$181.6 or US$56.7 at a foreign exchange rate of US$1.0:R$3.2.

The largest power consumer across the entire Project is the processing plant, for the crushing and grinding stages. The power costs are estimated to be between US$156,000 and US$101,000 per month for 55Kt/month and 21.5Kt/month, respectively.

Gold doré bar freight and refining costs have been based on historical costs and are subject to market adjustment. The total payable for gold is 99.99% and the refining costs are estimated to be US$5.63/oz of payable gold. The gold transportation costs are estimated at US$9.44/oz of recovered gold (e.g. saleable gold).

Global G&A Costs

The Project’s operational cost includes an annual fixed global G&A cost which entails all related labour, consumables, and services that are used commonly by all operating mines, as shown in Table 1.16. In addition to the global G&A, each mine and the processing plant have its own local G&A cost.

Based on the mining schedule, the Project will have the Lavrinha open pit and the Pau-a-Pique underground producing at the same time for approximately 27 months and thereafter the Ernesto underground will become the sole source of ore to the plant. Based on this schedule, global G&A costs have been broken down into the two cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>LAV + PPQ (’000 US$)</th>
<th>ERN (’000 US$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labour</td>
<td>1,614</td>
<td>1,406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumables</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>2,021</td>
<td>1,816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cost (’000 US$/year)</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,134</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,658</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Economic Analysis

1. Base Case Operating Highlights and Project Performance

- Gold price: Baseline economic evaluation: US$1,300/oz Au
- Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves: 2.3 Mt @ 3.17 g/t Au containing 233,600 oz Au
- Average Gold Production: 36,100 oz/year over approximately 5.8 years.
- Foreign Exchange Rate: 3.2:1 (BRA:USD)
- Initial CAPEX: US$18.2M (Partially funded by the Yamana Debt Facility of US$9.0M and an Aura Rights Offering in 2016 of approximately US$4.0M; including working capital and contingency)
- NPV @ 5% (after-tax): US$28.5M
- IRR (after-tax): 100%
The Project economics are comprised of three economical scenarios: 1) “Base Case” Scenario which uses current metal prices and foreign exchange rates (i.e. US$1,300/oz Au and 3.2:1 FOREX), 2) “Upside Ernesto Recovery” Scenario which considers an increase in process plant recovery from 86% to 88%, and 3) “Consensus” Scenario which considers the long-term metal prices and foreign exchange rates (i.e. US$1,350/oz Au and 3.5:1 FOREX). Table 1.17 presents the After-Tax Project economics for the “Base Case” Scenario.

Inflation has not been considered in the cash flow analysis, since the Project will be commenced over a relatively short period of time, and all costs are stated in nominal terms. Neither costs nor revenue has been escalated with any Consumer Price Index (“CPI”) or other base commodities inflation.

Table 1.17
After Tax Base Case Project Economics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Statistics</th>
<th>Life-Of-Mine (LOM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ore Tonnes</td>
<td>2,298,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au (g/t)</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Recovery (%)</td>
<td>88.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold production (payable) oz Au</td>
<td>207,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash cost US$/oz</td>
<td>837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-in Sustaining cost US$/oz</td>
<td>1,064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Cash Flows (US$ 000’s)</td>
<td>264,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold Revenue</td>
<td>269,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Royalties</td>
<td>(2,700)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refining and Transport</td>
<td>(3,130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Smelter Return (NSR)</td>
<td>264,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining costs</td>
<td>(104,766)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing costs</td>
<td>(36,783)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Project G&amp;A</td>
<td>(22,449)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Royalty</td>
<td>(6,750)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-tax Cash Earnings</td>
<td>93,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income taxes</td>
<td>(8,328)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIS/COFINS Credits¹</td>
<td>8,328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After-tax Cash Earnings</td>
<td>93,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital and Sustaining Capital</td>
<td>(38,946)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closure Costs</td>
<td>(7,020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Flow to Entity</td>
<td>47,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Yamana (Including Interest)²</td>
<td>(11,016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Flow to Equity</td>
<td>36,436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV 5%</td>
<td>28,517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV 8%</td>
<td>24,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV 10%</td>
<td>22,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRR</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) PIS/COFINS are tax credits under Brazilian Tax Regulation for exporters and those can be used to offset against income tax liabilities or refunded in cash.

(2) As previously disclosed, in order to facilitate the acquisition of the Project, the previous owner, SBMM, a company affiliated with Yamana, made available to the Company’s operating entity a working capital facility of up to US$9M (the “Working Capital Facility”). The Working Capital Facility bears interest at 4% per annum on the outstanding balance. The funds advanced from the Working Capital Facility have been invested in the capital, care-and-maintenance and engineering requirements of the Project to restart the Project and to complete the NI 43-101 technical reporting. The Working Capital Facility is expected to be repaid with the initial free cash flow from the Project or will be payable in full by April 30, 2018. Should the Project not enter into production and the Company not have sufficient funds to repay the Working Capital Facility on the due date, such amount outstanding will, at the option of Yamana, be converted into common shares of the Company at a 10% discount over the 20 day VWAP of the Company’s common shares based on the period prior to the due date. At no point in time may Yamana own, beneficially or otherwise, greater than 19.9% of the issued and outstanding common shares of the Company.
## 1. Upside and Consensus Cases

For the “Upside Ernesto Recovery” scenario, the Ernesto ore recovery was increased from the base case of 86% to 88% to see the effects on overall Project economics. For the Ernesto 88% recovery case, the after-tax NPV at a 5% discount rate from 2016 through to completion of LOM is estimated at $31.3M and the IRR is estimated at 104%. Recovered gold over the LOM increases to 210,521 ozs compared to 207,689 ozs for the 86% recovery case.

For the “Consensus” scenario, a price forecast of US$1,350/oz gold and a long term foreign exchange rate of BRA:USD = 3.5:1 were considered, and the after-tax NPV at a 5% discount rate from 2016 through to completion of LOM is estimated at $47.7M and the IRR is estimated at 497%.

## 2. Economic Sensitivities (After-Tax)

Sensitivities on the after-tax base case Project economics have been analyzed by varying the gold price, opex, capex and foreign exchange rate. The results are presented in Table 1.18.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 0.18</th>
<th>Sensitivity Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gold Price Sensitivity After Tax (US$M)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US$/oz</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>-6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Cashflow</td>
<td>-5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRR (%)</td>
<td>-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPV After Tax (US$M)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>-15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capex</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opex</td>
<td>51.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Cash Flow After Tax (US$M)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>-15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capex</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opex</td>
<td>62.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRR After Tax (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>-15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capex</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opex</td>
<td>1,055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BRA:USD Exchange Rate</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOREX</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV (US$M)</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRR %</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** * represents Base Case scenario

## 1. Interpretations and Conclusions

P&E concludes that financial modeling of the Project has determined that the Project will be economically viable and profitable. The Lavrinha Deposit is planned to be mined by open pit method, and the Pau-a-Pique and Ernesto Deposits mined by underground methods, utilizing the existing processing plant and tailings storage area, to produce gold. This Report outlines a total Project Proven and Probable Mineral Reserve Estimate of 2.3Mt at 3.17 g/t Au containing 233,600 ozs of gold. The Project has a low initial capital cost at US$18.2M since much of the site infrastructure is already in place. Overall Project economics are strong, with an after-tax NPV of US$28.5M, an after-tax IRR of 100%, and a payback of 1.2 years using the base case metal price of US$1,300/oz Au and a BRA:USD=3.2:1 foreign exchange rate. The Project mine life is planned at 5.8 years.

P&E concludes that this Report demonstrates the viability of the EPP Project as proposed, and that further development is warranted.

The following summarizes the Technical Report conclusions, which highlight significant aspects of the Project or define Project value:
The Project’s local climate and geography allow for year-round mining. The Ernesto and Pau-a-Pique sites have existing suitable access for supply and services as well as for ore haulage, and there is adequate local skilled workforce availability in the region.

The Ernesto Property contains a 130 tonnes per hour CIL process plant, which includes crushing, milling and tailing facilities with power supplied from the national grid via a 12 km 136 kV transmission line from Pontes e Lacerda. The Ernesto Property also contains a gate house, administration offices, core shack, explosives storage facility, and the mined-out Ernesto open pit and waste rock dump. The Lavrinha Property is contiguous to Ernesto and does not contain any infrastructure. The Pau-a-Pique Property contains an underground mine that was operated by Yamana until late in 2014, and surface facilities for administration and maintenance.

Aura has existing surface rights over most of the Project area either via direct ownership or agreements with landowners. Negotiations are in process for a remaining parcel in Lavrinha and a small portion of the Pau-a-Pique Project area. Aura is also updating the landowner agreements for resumption of ore haulage along the 47 km access between Pau-a-Pique and Ernesto; this process is well underway. While no impediments are anticipated for concluding these pending surface rights and access road use agreements, delays could stand to affect the execution of the Project.

Regional and local geology which controls mineralization is well understood. The Ernesto-Lavrinha and Pau-a-Pique Deposits are broadly similar in host lithologies, structural style, alteration, and mineralization and all share characteristics of shear-hosted lode gold deposits.

Exploration of the Ernesto, Lavrinha and Pau-a-Pique Deposits has been comprehensive, and methodologies and practices applied are considered appropriate. Exploration drilling on the Property is extensive. Drill campaigns have been carried out by previous companies since 2005. Aura drilled the Ernesto, Lavrinha and Pau-a-Pique Deposits in 2015, focussing on in-fill drilling in the mineral resource areas.

It is P&E’s opinion that sample preparation, security and analytical procedures for both the Ernesto and Pau-a-Pique Deposits drilling and sampling programs were adequate for the purposes of this Mineral Resource Estimate. It is MCB’s opinion that sample preparation, security and analytical procedures for the Lavrinha Deposit drilling and sampling programs were adequate for the purposes of this Mineral Resource Estimate. Based upon the evaluation of the QA/QC programs undertaken by Yamana and Aura, as well as P&E’s due diligence sampling, P&E concludes that the data are of good quality for use in the Ernesto and Pau-a-Pique Mineral Resource Estimates. For Lavrinha, MCB had the same conclusion as P&E since the Lavrinha drilling campaigns were carried out simultaneously with Ernesto, applying the same procedures and sampling protocols.

The EPP process plant started operation in 2013 and was operated until October, 2014, receiving feed from the Ernesto open pit and the Pau-a-Pique underground mine. Samples of the three deposits (Ernesto, Pau-a-Pique and Lavrinha) were selected in 2016 from available core and sample coarse rejects to represent half years according to the production forecast for the Project. In the main, the core samples were sent for grinding testwork while the coarse rejects were sent for hydrometallurgical testing. SGS Lakefield, Canada, performed the grinding work, which consisted of SAG Power Index and Bond Ball Mill Work Index testwork, while SGS Geosol of Belo Horizonte, Brazil, performed the hydrometallurgical testwork, consisting of Gravity Recovery of Gold, bottle roll leach tests and settling testwork. The overall recoveries for the Pau-a-Pique and Lavrinha metallurgical testwork samples are very good at approximately 93%. Those for the Ernesto samples are lower than expected, at approximately 86%, even after the re-leach results are taken into account. Further work should be carried out on Ernesto material to ascertain the reasons for this. The work should investigate using finer grinds, increased cyanide levels and also the use of Leach Aid. The grinding circuit has more than adequate capacity to handle the tonnages planned for the Project. In view of this it may be advisable to investigate whether it would be beneficial to grind finer.

In P&E’s opinion, the Mineral Resource Estimates for the Ernesto and Pau-a-Pique Deposits are reasonable and has been undertaken according to industry standard practice. In MCB’s opinion, the Mineral Resource Estimate for the Lavrinha Deposit is reasonable and has been undertaken according to industry standard practice.

The Total Proven Mineral Reserve Estimate for the Project is 67,000 t at 1.85 g/t Au containing 4,000 oz gold. The Total Probable Mineral Reserve Estimate for the Project is 2,231,000 t at 3.20 g/t Au containing 229,600 oz gold. The Total Proven and Probable Mineral Reserve Estimate is 2,298,000 t at 3.16 g/t Au containing 233,600 oz gold.

Mining has been sequenced to start with open pit mining of the Lavrinha Deposit for a period of 26 months. Pre-production at the Pau-a-Pique underground mine starts one month after mining commences at Lavrinha, and lasts two months.
Production mining at Pau-a-Pique is carried out for 17 months. Pre-production at Ernesto lasts five months and is scheduled to end when mining at Pau-a-Pique is completed. Production mining at Ernesto is then carried out for 43 months. The total LOM sequence is 69 months, or 5.8 years.

The Ernesto Deposit will be mined by a Drift and Fill method, using a combination of drifting in ore and transverse primary and secondary stopes. The orebody will be accessed by one main ramp, with a second access for definition drill access and ventilation purposes. The presence of mylonite and its thickness will require re-analysis of ground support density and maximum stope span. The Ernesto Project will use the majority of the Pau-a-Pique Project’s underground mobile equipment once Pau-a-Pique operations ceased. The Ernesto cemented rockfill plant has been selected and sized to deliver the required backfill quantity and quality.

Aura has contracted the Brazilian company Dinex to mine the Lavrinha open pit Deposit. The major equipment in the fleet is specified as Volvo excavators, CAT dozers, Scania trucks and Sandvik drills. The contract term is 24 months, and is to be done by contract phase, with Phase I at 450kt/month to the end of April, 2017, and Phase II at 750kt/month to the end of mine life.

Underground mining at Pau-a-Pique will be conducted by an Avoca choke blasting stoping method. Ore will be transported up the main access ramp and then along a 47 km surface road to the Ernesto process plant.

The financial model is based on a gold price of US$1,300/oz. The 48-month trailing average price as of the effective date of this Technical Report was approximately US$1,317/oz. Gold revenue for the Project will be subject to spot prices. Aura, through its wholly-owned Brazilian company Apoena, has contracts with Umicore to refine its gold and silver. It also has a contract with Brink’s to transport doré.

The Project has experienced and qualified environmental management staff and facilities in place. A review of the site, permits, and monitoring data indicate that Aura is complying with the monitoring, inspection and surveillance programs stipulated in operating licenses for Ernesto and Pau-a-Pique. The Project has several key operating permits in hand to allow mining and processing activities to commence. The remaining permits and authorizations are in the application process, and there is reasonable certainty of obtaining these in due course. Delays in obtaining these pending approvals may in turn, delay or otherwise affect the Project, in particular, the cost-effective mining of the Lavrinha deposit. The Project cost model provides for additional test work in 2017 for acid rock drainage studies for tailings and waste rock.

Initial capital for the Project is estimated at US$17.3M and is low since it is partially funded by the Yamana debt facility and since much of the Project infrastructure is already in place.

Operating costs for open pit mining at Lavrinha are based on the Dinex contract, and are estimated to average US$2.31/t ore and US$1.88/t waste over the LOM. Operating costs for underground mining at Pau-a-Pique and Ernesto have been developed from first principles and contain known consumable unit costs, labour rates from a salary survey and rates paid during care and maintenance, existing electrical power rates, and known costs for other services. The average cost for mining at Pau-a-Pique over the LOM is estimated at US$57.93/t ore, and for Ernesto is estimated at US$62.81/t ore. Processing costs have been developed from first principles, budgeted consumption rates, and quotations from suppliers. The processing cost for a 55 Kt/month production rate is estimated at US$12.5/t, and for a 21.5 Kt/month rate is estimated at US$21.3/t. The annual cost for Global G&A is estimated at US$4.1M under the Lavrinha/Pau-a-Pique operation and US$3.6M for the Ernesto stand-alone operation.

The after-tax NPV at a 5% discount rate from 2016 through to completion of LOM for the base case is estimated at $28.5M and the IRR is estimated at 100%, with a payback of 1.2 years. The after-tax undiscounted cash flow of the EPP Project is estimated at $36.4M over the LOM.

The Ernesto ore recovery was increased from the base case of 86% to 88% as an upside case to see the effects on overall Project economics. For the Ernesto 88% recovery case, the after-tax NPV at a 5% discount rate from 2016 through to completion of LOM is estimated at $31.3M and the IRR is estimated at 104%. Recovered gold over the LOM increases to 210,521 ozs compared to 207,689 ozs for the 86% recovery case.
Using a consensus price forecast of US$1,350/oz gold, along with a higher than base case foreign exchange rate of BRA:USD = 3.5:1, the after-tax NPV at a 5% discount rate from 2016 through to completion of LOM is estimated at $47.7M and the IRR is estimated at 497%.

I. Recommendations

P&E specifically recommends proceeding with detailed engineering and preparations for production based on the positive economics predicted by the designs and financial evaluations contained in this Technical Report.

1. Ernesto

A number of the Ernesto drill holes that cut the Mineral Resource Estimate wireframe were not fully sampled, and two holes should be deepened if possible. Modelling of a lower grade envelop in the Inferred Mineral Resource Estimate area in the northern part of the Ernesto Property is recommended to better understand geometry-contiuity of the mineralized zone. The best potential to develop additional Mineral Resource Estimates for the future lies in fill-in drilling and sampling to upgrade the Inferred Mineral Resource Estimates to Indicated Mineral Resource Estimates.

Recommendation is made for all future drilling and channel sampling programs at the Project to include a more consistent approach to QC protocol for all samples to be sent for laboratory analysis.

The planned underground definition drilling program should be followed to provide additional information needed to finalize the level and stope designs prior to drifting in ore and stoping.

A Drift and Fill mining method is recommended. The performance of the access drives is sensitive to the mining sequence, effective spans established and the ground support practices. The stope span recommendations are sensitive to the ability of mine personnel to consistently tight fill the mined stopes as soon as possible after the completion of each stope. The span and ground support recommendations are sensitive to the thickness and rock mass quality of the mylonite.

It is recommended to evaluate the required crown pillar dimensions and the stability of the secondary stope pillars, including the impact of the saprolite and further analysis of the mylonite and its influence on achievable stope dimensions and ground support following the completion of the definition drilling. Additional geomechanical logging should be completed to better define the spatial variation of the rock mass quality in the immediate HW of the proposed stopes, as well as the spatial variation in the distribution of the mylonite and saprolite.

An in-situ CRF strength of 0.5 MPa is recommended. Having consistent feed material that is within the required particle size distribution specification is an important consideration in ensuring that the CRF achieves the target strength and quality on a consistent basis. A QAQC program should be put in place, using either contracted lab services or existing Aura facilities in the area, to monitor the particle size distribution of the prepared CRF aggregate, and test for the strength of the placed CRF to ensure that excessive consumption of cement does not occur.

Additional confirmatory acid rock drainage test work for waste rock in all mine areas as well as for tailings is recommended.

1. Lavrinha

MCB recommends the following:

- Organization of the drill core in the temporary shed in Pontes e Lacerda.
- Assay drill core intervals not sampled.
- A complete review of the database information and cross-referencing with original records for the drill hole and assay databases.
- Update the surface topography files with more precision.
- Additional drilling is recommended at Lavrinha to drill off the deposit in the SW of the Property towards the adjacent valley and also at the southern end of the deposit where the density of drilling is reduced and there are some lenses that can be potentially delineated near surface.
- The results of “G912-6” Geostats Standard are based on 18 assayed samples. The results indicated a slight bias in grade. It is recommended to check the certification of this standard due to the random values around the second standard deviation.
• The Lavrinha waste rock storage area design should be advanced to a detailed engineering level including elements such as foundation evaluations, design criteria, stability analysis, internal and surface drainage design.

1. Pau-a-Pique

P&E offers the following recommendations related to the Mineral Resource Estimate:

• Drill hole down hole surveys should be reviewed for implausible readings and these should be removed and the resulting re-positioning of the hole toe examined for impact on the resource wireframing.
• Additional drilling is recommended for the west target zone to identify the mineral resource potential.
• A structural study is recommended to identify and model major gold-bearing shear zones in the deposit for future exploration drill targets.
• It is strongly recommended that definition drilling be carried out in the Indicated Resources contained in the NNW lower portion of main zone P2 and the foot wall lenses P3 and P4 in the SSE portion of the deposit, before their development.

An Avoca choke blasting stoping method is recommended. P&E strongly recommends that definition drill data be available ahead of the stope extraction which subsequently must be used in the mine planning process before a particular stope is developed and mined. This will enable the mine operations to properly place the ore accesses within the stope designed boundaries and minimize stope dilution incurred during extraction, which the operation struggled with in the past.

With the objective of minimizing dilution and operating costs, the following are recommended:

• Geotechnical mapping should be undertaken during the development of the undercut and overcut for each stope. The results of the mapping should be used to plan the initial panel strike lengths.
• The panel performance should be monitored using regular CMSs and possibly instrumentation. The collected data should be used to document the actual panel dimensions and dilution. The rock mass quality of the HW and FW and the time the panel remains open should also be documented.
• The panel strike length should be adjusted based on the observed stope performance during mining.
• A final panel reconciliation should be completed for each stope and the design of future panels should be updated using the data collected from each stope.
• The mine engineering department will need to include adequate ground control staff and resources to support mine development and operations.
• Numerical stress modelling is recommended to evaluate the extraction sequence and the offset between the development and the ore body. The results of the modelling can also be used to confirm some of the inputs to the Mathews Stability Graph, as well as the stope sizing and ground support recommendations.
• Additional kinematic and numerical analyses are recommended to refine and confirm the ground support recommendations. For example, numerical modelling could be used to refine the length of the cable bolts recommended in the HW and FW of the overcuts and undercuts.
• An evaluation of the stability of the raises is recommended prior to their development.

P&E recommends that significant attention must be dedicated to stope drilling and blasting practices mainly around the drill pattern, hole spacing, firing practice, energy distribution per hole and per blast, and interdepartmental accountability/responsibility for the entire process.

It is also recommended that the 220 m Elev sill pillar extraction should be investigated. Mining of this and future sill pillars should be well understood and planned as it presents upside potential to the mine cash flow.

Relative to mine planning, mine budgeting and cost control, mine reconciliation, ground control management plan, equipment maintenance plan, and operational KPI’s, P&E recommends the establishment of RACI (responsibility, accountability, controls, and implementation) charts with clear deliverables.

1. Processing Plant and Tailings Storage

The grinding circuit has more than adequate capacity to handle the tonnages planned for the Project. In view of this it may be advisable to investigate whether it would be beneficial to grind finer.
Further work should be carried out on Ernesto material to ascertain the reasons for the lower overall recovery compared to Lavrinha and Pua-a-Pique. The work should investigate using finer grinds, increased cyanide levels and a trade-off study should be performed to confirm the industrial benefits of using Leach Aid in the CIL process. Since the plant has more than enough capacity to grind finer, a series of tests should be performed to establish the optimum grind size for Ernesto ore, and then to establish the optimum leach conditions.

The following process plant recommendations are also provided:

- Continue with optimization efforts around reagent dosage, focusing on the two operating regimes outlined in the study.
- Review operating manuals to better control densities in the process, especially important for soft ores with high amounts of fines. This improvement needs to be focused at the E-Cat stage and CIL.
- Review the existing SAG mill control logic as the ore to be fed from all deposits is softer than originally expected. This logic would target the use of SAG mill speed and SAG pressure to prevent liner damage in situations where load cannot be built within the SAG mill.

Finalize the Tierra Group study, which includes a trade-off assessment of using waste rock instead of saprolite to build the next tailings storage facility raise. This study includes a better characterization of the acid generation potential testwork on the waste rock.

1. **Environmental**

There have been no ARD characterization tests done on tailings or Lavrinha waste rock, and it is recommended that confirmatory acid rock drainage testwork for waste rock in all mine areas be carried out, and similarly for the tailings.

It is also recommended that supporting studies and comprehensive closure plan development be initiated within the first year of operation.

**DIVIDENDS**

No dividends have been paid, or distributions made, by the Company on its Common Shares or other securities within the three most recently completed financial years. The Company anticipates that for the foreseeable future it will retain future earnings and other cash resources for the operation and development of its business. Payment of any future dividends or distributions will be at the discretion of the Board after taking into account many factors, including the Company’s financial condition and current and anticipated cash needs.

**MARKET FOR SECURITIES**

**Trading Price and Volume**

The Common Shares are listed and posted for trading on the TSX under the symbol "ORA". The following sets out information relating to the monthly trading of the Common Shares on the TSX for 2017. Please note that the information below is presented on an adjusted post-consolidation basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2016 Period</th>
<th>Closing High (C$)</th>
<th>Closing Low (C$)</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>229,311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>67,799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>249,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>135,081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>101,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>495,593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>95,904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>207,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>207,678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>32,795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>134,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>387,719</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The price of the Common Shares as quoted by the TSX at the close of business on December 29, 2017 was C$2.53 and on March 28, 2018 was C$2.22. During the most recently completed financial year of the Company, the Company did not have any class of securities outstanding but not listed or quoted on a marketplace.
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**Fernando Cornejo, VP Projects (Ontario, Canada).** Mr. Cornejo joined Aura Minerals in April 2014. Mr. Cornejo brings close to 20 years of experience in the mining sector including operations, mineral processing and project management. Prior to joining Aura Minerals, Mr. Cornejo held executive and project management roles with Jacobs Engineering and the SGS Group in Canada, Mexico and Peru, as well as operational roles with Rio Tinto in Canada and BHP Billiton in his native, Peru. Mr. Cornejo holds a Master’s Degree in Chemical Engineering from Ecole Polytechnique de Montréal, a Bachelors Degree in Chemical Engineering from Universidad Nacional de San Agustín Peru, and a Master Certificate in Project Management from York University and is a member of the Professional Engineers of Ontario. Mr. Cornejo is fluent in English, Spanish and Portuguese.

**Sergio Castanho, VP People and management Processes (Florida, USA).** Mr. Castanho joined the Company on January 8, 2018 as VP People and Management Processes. Mr. Castanho is a Mechanical Engineer from Unicamp in Brazil, with an MBA from Insead in France. He is a former managing director of Anglo America's Phosphates and Niobium businesses focusing on their transformation and value creation agenda. Mr. Castanho has worked for the management consulting firm McKinsey & Company in a number of countries leading operations and process improvement. Mr. Castanho has also worked for operations divisions of Procter & Gamble in Canada, USA and Brazil. For the last four years Sergio has led his own consulting firm that focuses on improving processes and growing companies across industries, including a railway, a copper mine in Chile and a large agribusiness powerhouse in Latin America. Mr. Castanho is fluent in English, Spanish and Portuguese.

**Monty Reed, General Manager, Honduras Operations (Florida, USA).** Mr. Reed joined Aura Minerals in August of 2011 as General Manager for the San Andrés operation. He brings to Aura 35 years of exploration, geology, engineering, mine development, maintenance and operations experience in North and South America and Europe. Prior to joining Aura, Mr. Reed was General Manager for the Pitarilla development project in Durango, Mexico for Silver Standard. Previously Mr. Reed held positions of increasing responsibility for Carbones de la Guajira in Venezuela, various sites for Placer Dome and was part of the mine start-up team for the highly-successful Gros Rosebel operation in Suriname for Cambior/IAMGOLD. Other senior management positions held include COO for Sargold Resources and President of Sardinia Gold Mines in Italy. Mr. Reed holds a bachelor’s degree in geology from the University of Colorado.

**Jorge Camargo, General Manager, Brazil Operations (Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil).** Mr. Camargo joined Aura Minerals in January 2010. He brings to Aura over 28 years’ experience in base and precious metals. Mr. Camargo has extensive experience in exploration, mineral resource evaluation, mine development and mining operation including ore processing from carbon-in-leach (CIL), carbon-in-pulp (CIP) and heap leach operations. Mr. Camargo is focused on cost reduction and
has extensive experience with ISO 18000-OHS Management System and ISO 14001-Environmental Management System. Mr. Camargo has held various senior positions in multinational mining companies in both South America and Central America.

Security Holding

As of the date of this AIF, the directors and executive officers of the Company, as a group, beneficially own, or control or direct, directly or indirectly, 23,806,215 Common Shares, representing approximately 54.89% of the total number of Common Shares outstanding before giving effect to the exercise of stock options to purchase Common Shares and share units held by such directors and executive officers.

Conflicts of Interest

To the best of the Company’s knowledge, and other than as disclosed herein, there are no known existing or potential conflicts of interest between the Company (or a Subsidiary of the Company) and any director or officer of the Company (or a Subsidiary of the Company), except that certain of the directors and officers serve as directors, officers or members of management of other public companies and therefore it is possible that a conflict may arise between their duties as a director or officer of the Company and their duties as a director, officer, promoter or member of management of such other companies.

The directors and officers of the Company are aware of the existence of laws governing accountability of directors and officers for corporate opportunity and requiring disclosure by directors of conflicts of interest and the Company relies upon such laws in respect of any directors’ and officers’ conflicts of interest or in respect of any breaches of duty by any of its directors and officers. All such conflicts have been disclosed by such directors and officers in accordance with the BVI Business Companies Act and the Company’s Memorandum of Associate and Articles of Association and they have governed themselves in respect thereof to the best of their ability in accordance with the obligations imposed upon them by law.

Risk Factors

The operations of the Company are speculative due to the high-risk nature of its business which is the acquisition, exploration, development and operation of mining properties. The following risk factors could materially affect the Company’s future operating results and could cause actual events to differ materially from those described in forward-looking statements relating to the Company:

Operating Risks

Mining operations generally involve a high degree of risk. Aura Minerals’ operations are subject to all the hazards and risks normally encountered in the exploration, development and production of gold, copper and silver, including unusual and unexpected geologic formations, seismic activity, rock bursts, cave-ins, flooding, pit wall failure and other conditions involved in the drilling, blasting, mining and processing of material, any of which could result in damage to, or destruction of, mines and other producing facilities, damage to life or property, environmental damage and possible legal liability. Although adequate precautions to minimize risk are being taken, mineral-process operations are subject to hazards such as fire, equipment failure or failure of retaining dams around tailings disposal areas which may result in environmental pollution and consequent liability.

The exploration for and development of mineral deposits involves significant risks which even a combination of careful evaluation, experience and knowledge may not eliminate. While the discovery of an ore body may result in substantial rewards, few properties that are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. Major expenses will be required to locate and establish mineral reserves, to develop metallurgical processes and to construct mining and processing facilities at a particular site. It is impossible to ensure that the exploration or development programs planned by Aura Minerals will result in a profitable commercial mining operation. Whether a mineral deposit will be commercially viable depends on a number of factors, some of which are: the particular attributes of the deposit, such as size, grade and proximity to infrastructure; the presence of deleterious elements; metal prices that are highly cyclical; costs of construction and government regulations, including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, land use, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of these factors may result in the Company not receiving an adequate return on invested capital.

We are subject to risks related to community relations and community action.
As a mining business, we may come under pressure in the jurisdictions in which we operate, or will operate in the future, to demonstrate that other stakeholders (including employees, communities surrounding operations and the countries in which they operate) benefit and will continue to benefit from our commercial activities, and/or that we operate in a manner that will minimize any potential damage or disruption to the interests of those stakeholders. We may face opposition with respect to our current and future development and exploration projects which could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. Further, certain non-governmental organizations ("NGOs"), some of which oppose globalization and resource development, are often vocal critics of the mining industry and its practices, including the use of hazardous substances in processing activities. Adverse publicity generated by such NGOs or others related to extractive industries generally, or our operations specifically, could have an adverse effect on our reputation and financial condition and may impact our relationship with the communities in which we operate. They may install road blockades, apply for injunctions for work stoppage and file lawsuits for damages. These actions can relate not only to current activities but also historic mining activities by prior owners and could have a material, adverse effect on our operations. We seek to operate in a socially responsible manner applying international industry best-practice programs. However, there can be no guarantee that our efforts in this respect will address these risks.

Market Fluctuation and Commercial Quantities

The market for minerals is influenced by many factors beyond the control of the Company such as the supply and demand for minerals, the rate of inflation, the number of mineral producing companies, the international economic and political environment, changes in international investment patterns, global or regional consumption patterns, costs of substitutes, currency exchange rates, interest rates, speculative activities in connection with minerals, and increased production due to improved mining and production methods. Accordingly, the profitability of the Company's operations is highly correlated to the market prices of these metals, as is the ability of the Company to develop its other properties. If metal prices were to decline for a prolonged period below the Company's cost of production, it may not be feasible to continue production or to continue the development of new mine properties.

The metals industry in general is intensely competitive and there is no assurance that, even if commercial quantities and qualities of metals are discovered, a market will exist for the profitable sale of such metals. Commercial viability of precious and base metals and other mineral deposits may be affected by other factors that are beyond the Company's control including particular attributes of the deposit such as its size, quantity and quality, the cost of mining and processing, proximity to infrastructure and the availability of transportation and sources of energy, financing, government legislation and regulations including those relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, land use, import and export restrictions, exchange controls, restrictions on production, as well as environmental protection. It is impossible to assess with certainty the impact of various factors, which may affect commercial viability so that any adverse combination of such factors may result in the Company not receiving an adequate return on invested capital.

The Company can reduce its exposure against fluctuations in the price of gold and copper by using hedging instruments for a portion or all of its gold and copper production, such as forward contracts and put options. Various strategies are available using these instruments. Although hedging activities may protect a company against a lower gold and copper price, they may also limit the price that can be realised on gold and copper subject to forward sales and call options where the market price exceeds the price in forward sale or call option contracts.

Funding Needs, Liquidity Risk and Going Concern

In order to fund the costs associated with the exploration, development, mining, and processing of minerals from the Company's properties and the Company's mine plans, and to meet expected future obligations, the Company may, from time to time, be required to obtain additional financing. Metal prices, environmental rehabilitation and restitution, revenue taxes, transportation and other operating costs, capital expenditures and geological results are also factors which may have an impact on the amount of additional financing that may be required. To meet such funding requirements, the Company may be required to undertake additional equity or debt financing, both of which could be dilutive to shareholders.

Debt financing, if available, may also involve certain restrictions on operating activities or include financial covenants, such as accompanying gold and copper hedging requirements and minimum liquidity levels, or restrict the Company's ability to enter into additional financing arrangements. In light of continuing global economic challenges, there is no assurance that such equity or debt financing will be available to the Company or that these financings would be obtained on terms favourable to the Company, which may adversely affect the Company's business, financial position and may result in a delay or indefinite postponement of exploration, development, or production on any or all of the Company's properties, or even a loss of property interests. There is no assurance that the Company will be able to raise the required funds on an ongoing basis which raises significant doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

Foreign Operations Risks
Political and related legal and economic uncertainty may exist in the countries where the Company operates, or may operate in the future. The Company’s mineral exploration, development and mining activities may be adversely affected by political instability and changes to government regulation relating to the mining industry.

Presently, all of the Company’s mineral properties are located in Honduras, Brazil and Mexico. While the Company believes that Honduras, Brazil and Mexico currently provide a stable environment for mining companies to operate in, there can be no assurance that changes in the government or laws of Honduras, Brazil or Mexico or changes in the regulatory environment for mining companies generally or for non-domiciled companies in Honduras, Brazil or Mexico will not be made that would materially and adversely affect the Company.

**Government Regulations, Consents and Approvals**

Exploration, development and mining activities are subject to laws and regulations governing health and work safety, employment standards, environmental matters, mine development, prospecting, mineral production, exports, taxes, labour standards, reclamation obligations and other matters. It is possible that future changes in applicable laws, regulations, agreements or changes in their enforcement or regulatory interpretation could result in changes in legal requirements or in the terms of permits and agreements applicable to the Company or its properties which could have a material adverse impact on the Company’s operations and exploration programs and future development projects.

On October 31, 2013 the Mexican government approved a tax reform package which was published in the Mexican Official Gazette on December 11, 2013 which became effective January 1, 2014. On April 2, 2013 the Honduran government passed a new mining law in Honduras which increased royalties and taxation and amended certain environmental regulations which increases the Company’s operating cost base in Honduras. On October 31, 2017, seven Articles of the Mining Law approved in April 2013, including the Article of royalties, were declared unconstitutional.

Where required, obtaining necessary permits and licences can be a complex, time consuming process and there can be no assurance that required permits will be obtainable on acceptable terms, in a timely manner or at all. The costs and delays associated with obtaining permits and complying with these permits and applicable laws and regulations could stop or materially delay or restrict the Company from proceeding with the development of an exploration project or the operation or further development of a mine. Any failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations or permits, even if inadvertent, could result in interruption or closure of exploration, development or mining operations or material fines, penalties or other liabilities, which could have an adverse effect on the business, financial condition or results of operation of the Company.

**Increase in Production Costs**

Changes in the Company’s production costs could have a major impact on its profitability. Its principal production expenses are contractor costs, materials, personnel costs and energy. Changes in costs at the Company’s mining and processing operations could occur as a result of unforeseen events, including international and local economic and political events, increased costs (including explosives, oil, steel, cyanide and other consumables), union demands and scarcity of labour, and could result in changes in profitability or reserve estimates. Many of these factors may be beyond the Company’s control.

The Company relies on third party suppliers for a number of raw materials. Any material increase in the cost of raw materials, or the inability of the Company to source viable and economic alternative third party suppliers for the supply of its raw materials, could have a materially adverse effect on the Company’s results of operations or financial position.

**Infrastructure**

Mining, processing, development and exploration activities depend, to one degree or another, on adequate infrastructure. Reliable roads, railways, power sources and water supply are important determinants affecting capital and operating costs. Unusual or infrequent weather phenomena, sabotage, government or other interference in the maintenance or provision of such infrastructure could adversely affect the Company’s operations, financial condition and results of operations.

**Environmental and Safety Regulations and Risks**

Environmental laws and regulations may affect the Company. These laws and regulations set various standards regulating certain aspects of health and environmental quality. They provide for penalties and other liabilities for the violation of such standards and establish, in certain circumstances, obligations to rehabilitate current and former facilities and locations where operations are or were conducted. The permission to operate can be withdrawn temporarily where there is evidence of serious breaches of environmental laws and regulations, health and safety standards, or even permanently in the case of
extreme breaches. Significant liabilities could be imposed on the Company for damages, clean-up costs or penalties in the event of certain discharges into the environment, environmental damage caused by previous owners of acquired properties or non-compliance with environmental laws or regulations. The Company seeks to minimize risks by taking steps to ensure compliance with environmental, health and safety laws and regulations and operating to applicable environmental standards, as discussed further under the heading "Description of the Business – Social and Environmental Policies". There is a risk that environmental laws and regulations may become more onerous, making it more costly for the Company to remain in compliance with such laws and regulations. Details and quantification of Aura Minerals’ mine closure and restoration obligations are set out in Note 15 to the Company’s audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2017.

**Competition, Retention of Key Personnel**

The mining industry is intensely competitive in all of its phases and the Company competes with many companies that possess greater financial and technical resources. Competition in the metals and mining industry is primarily for mineral rich properties that can be developed and produced economically; the technical expertise to find, develop, and operate such properties; the labour to operate the properties; and the capital for the purpose of funding such properties. Many competitors not only explore for and mine metals, but conduct refining and marketing operations on a global basis. Such competition may result in the Company being unable to acquire desired properties, to recruit or retain qualified employees or to acquire the capital necessary to fund its operations and develop its properties. Existing or future competition in the mining and metals industry could materially and adversely affect the Company’s prospects for mineral exploration and success in the future.

The success of the Company is dependent on senior management. The experience of these individuals will be a factor contributing to the Company's continued success and growth. The loss of one or more of these individuals could have a material adverse affect on the Company’s business prospects.

**Uncertainty in the Estimation of Mineral Resources and Reserves**

To extend the lives of its mines and projects, ensure the continued operation of the business and realize its growth strategy, it is essential that the Company convert NI 43-101 compliant mineral resources into mineral reserves, continue to develop its resource base through the realization of identified mineralized potential, and/or undertake successful exploration or acquire new resources.

The figures for mineral resources and reserves contained in the Company’s continuous disclosure documents filed on SEDAR (www.sedar.com) are estimates only and no assurance can be given that the anticipated tonnages and grades will be achieved, that the indicated level of recovery will be realized or that the mineral resources and reserves could be mined or processed profitably. Actual reserves, if any, may not conform to geological, metallurgical or other expectations, and the volume and grade of ore recovered may be below the estimated levels. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating mineral resources and reserves, including many factors beyond the Company's control. Such estimation is a subjective process, and the accuracy of any reserve or resource estimate is a function of the quantity and quality of available data and of the assumptions made and judgments used in engineering and geological interpretation. Short-term operating factors relating to the mineral resources and reserves, such as the need for orderly development of the ore bodies or the processing of new or different ore grades, may cause the mining operation to be unprofitable in any particular accounting period. In addition, there can be no assurance that metal recoveries in small scale laboratory tests will be duplicated in larger scale tests under on-site conditions or during production. Lower market prices, increased production costs, the presence of deleterious elements, reduced recovery rates and other factors may result in revision of its resource and reserve estimates from time to time or may render Aura Minerals’ resources and reserves uneconomic to exploit. Resource and reserve data is not indicative of future results of operations. If Aura Minerals’ actual mineral resources and reserves are less than current estimates or if the Company fails to develop its resource base through the realization of identified mineralized potential, its results of operations or financial condition may be materially and adversely affected.

**Currency Risk**

Fluctuations in currency exchange rates may significantly impact the Company’s earnings and cash flows. The appreciation of the Honduran lempira, Brazilian real and Mexican peso against the US dollar would increase the cost of exploration, development and operation of the Company’s mineral properties located in Honduras, Brazil and Mexico which could have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations or cash flow results of the Company. The inability of the Company to obtain or to put in place effective currency hedges could materially increase exposure to fluctuations in the currencies, which could affect the Company’s financial position and operating results.

**Write-downs and Impairments**
Mining and mineral interests are the most significant assets of the Company and represent capitalized expenditures related to the development of mining properties and related plant and equipment and the value assigned to exploration potential on acquisition. The costs associated with mining properties are separately allocated to exploration potential, reserves and resources and include acquired interests in production, development and exploration-stage properties representing the fair value at the time they were acquired. The values of such mineral properties are primarily driven by the nature and amount of material interests believed to be contained or potentially contained, in properties to which they relate.

The Company reviews and evaluates its mining interests for impairment at least annually or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the related carrying amounts may not be recoverable, which becomes more of a risk in the global economic conditions that exist currently. Future cash flows are estimated based on expected future production, commodity prices, operating costs and capital costs. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating mineral reserves and mineral resources. Differences between management’s assumptions and market conditions could have a material effect in the future on the Company’s financial position and results of operation.

In addition, with a weaker global economy, there is a larger risk surrounding inventory valuations. The assumptions used in the valuation of work-in-process inventories by the Company include estimates of gold contained in the ore stacked on leach pads, assumptions of the amount of gold stacked that is expected to be recovered from the leach pads, assumptions of the amount of copper that will be crushed for concentrate, assumptions of the amount of gold and copper in these mill circuits and an assumption of the gold and copper price expected to be realized when the gold and copper is recovered. If these estimates or assumptions prove to be inaccurate, the Company could be required to write-down the recorded value of its work-in-process inventories, which would reduce the Company’s results and financial position.

**Insurance and Uninsured Risks**

The Company’s business is subject to a number of risks and hazards generally, including adverse environmental conditions, industrial accidents, labour disputes, unusual or unexpected geological conditions, ground or slope failures, cave-ins, catastrophic equipment failures, changes in the regulatory environment and natural phenomena such as inclement weather conditions, floods and earthquakes. Such occurrences could result in damage to mineral properties or production facilities, personal injury or death, environmental damage to the Company's properties or the properties of others, delays in mining, monetary losses and possible legal liability.

Although the Company maintains insurance to protect against certain risks in such amounts as it considers reasonable, its insurance will not cover all the potential risks associated with a mining company’s operations. The Company may also be unable to maintain insurance to cover these risks at economically feasible premiums. Insurance coverage may not continue to be available or may not be adequate to cover any resulting liability. Moreover, insurance against risks such as environmental pollution or other hazards as a result of exploration, development and production is not generally available to the Company or to other companies in the mining industry on acceptable terms. Aura Minerals might also become subject to liability for pollution or other hazards that may not be insured against or that the Company may elect not to insure against.
because of premium costs or other reasons. Losses from these events or delays in cash receipt from an insurance claim recovery may cause Aura Minerals to incur significant costs and cash outflows that could have a material adverse effect upon its financial performance and results of operations.

**Risks Inherent in Acquisitions including Rio Novo Gold Inc.**

The Company may actively pursue the acquisition of exploration, development and production assets consistent with its acquisition and growth strategy. From time to time, the Company may also acquire securities of or other interests in companies with respect to which it may enter into acquisitions or other transactions. Acquisition transactions involve inherent risks, including but not limited to: accurately assessing the value, strengths, weaknesses, contingent and other liabilities and potential profitability of acquisition candidates; ability to achieve identified and anticipated operating and financial synergies; unanticipated costs; diversion of management attention from existing business; potential loss of the Company’s key employees or key employees of any business acquired; unanticipated changes in business, industry or general economic conditions that affect the assumptions underlying the acquisition; and decline in the value of acquired properties, companies or securities.

To acquire properties and companies, the Company may be required to use available cash, incur debt, issue additional Common Shares or other securities, or a combination of any one or more of these. This could affect the Company’s future flexibility and ability to raise capital, to explore, develop and operate its properties and could dilute existing shareholders and decrease the trading price of the Common Shares. There is no assurance that when evaluating a possible acquisition, the Company will correctly identify and manage the risks and costs inherent in the business to be acquired. There may be no right for the Company shareholders to evaluate the merits or risks of any future acquisition undertaken by the Company, except as required by applicable laws and regulations.

Any one or more of these factors or other risks could cause the Company not to realize the anticipated benefits of an acquisition of properties or companies, and could have a material adverse effect on the Company’s financial condition.

**Litigation**

Legal proceedings may arise from time to time in the course of the Company’s business. There have been a number of cases where the rights of mining and exploration companies have been the subject of litigation. The Company cannot guarantee that such litigation will not be brought against it in the future or that it may be subject to any other form of litigation.

**It may be difficult for investors to enforce judgments against directors, officer and experts resident outside of Canada.**

Some or all of the directors and officers of the Company and some or all of the experts named in this annual information form reside outside of Canada. Some or all of the assets of those persons and the Company may be located outside of Canada. It may not be possible for investors to collect from the Company or enforce judgments obtained in courts in Canada predicated on the civil liability provisions of Canadian securities legislation against the Company, the directors, the officers of the Company and certain of the experts named in this AIF. Moreover, it may not be possible for investors to effect service of process within Canada upon the directors, officers of the Company and experts referred to above.

**TRANSFER AGENTS AND REGISTRARS**

The Company’s transfer agent and registrar for its Common Shares is TSX Trust, 200 University Avenue, Suite 400, Toronto, Ontario, M5H 4H1.

**INTERESTS OF EXPERTS**

The following persons and companies have prepared or certified a statement, report, valuation or opinion on behalf of the Company as follows during the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, and to the date of this AIF:

- PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (“PwC”) prepared an audit report as auditors of the Company for the financial year ended December 31, 2017. PwC has advised the Company that they are independent of the Company within the meaning of the Rules of Professional Conduct of the Institute of Chartered Professional Accountants of Ontario.
- The EPP Technical Report dated January 13, 2017 was prepared by a consortium of consultants led by P&E Mining Consultants Inc. and included Mr. Eugene Puritch, P.Eng., Mr. Andrew Bradfield, P.Eng., Mr. Alexandru Veresezan, P.Eng., Mr. David Orava, M.Eng., P.Eng., Mr. Richard Routledge, M.Sc., P.Geo. Dr. Richard Sutcliffe,


The aforementioned companies and persons held either less than one percent or no securities of the Company or of any associate or affiliate of the Company when they prepared the reports referred to, or following the preparation of the reports, and did not receive any direct or indirect interest in any securities of the Company or of any associate or affiliate of the Company in connection with the preparation of such reports.

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

Additional information relating to the Company may be found on SEDAR at www.sedar.com. Additional information, including directors’ and officers’ remuneration and indebtedness, principal holders of the Company’s securities, and securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans, is contained in the Company’s information circular for its most recent annual meeting of shareholders that involves the election of directors. Financial information is provided in the Company’s annual audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2017 and the MD&A relating thereto and may be found on SEDAR or be obtained free of charge by contacting the Company.

**AUDIT COMMITTEE DISCLOSURE**

Pursuant to National Instrument 52 110 – Audit Committees (“NI 52-110”), companies that are required to file an AIF are required to provide certain disclosure with respect to their audit committee.

**Overview.** The Audit Committee is responsible for monitoring the Company’s systems and procedures for financial reporting and internal controls, reviewing certain public disclosure documents and monitoring the performance and independence of the Company’s external auditors. The committee is also responsible for reviewing the Company’s annual audited financial statements, unaudited quarterly financial statements and management’s discussion and analysis of financial results of operations for both annual and interim financial statements and review of related operations prior to their approval by the Board.

**The Audit Committee’s Charter.** The Board has adopted a charter for the Audit Committee which sets out the committee’s mandate, organization, powers and responsibilities. A copy of the charter reproduced below.

**Composition of the Audit Committee.** As of the date of this AIF the Audit Committee consists of Stephen Keith (Chairman), Roberto Fonseca and Philip Reade. The Audit Committee met four times during the most recently completed financial year, with all members of the committee in attendance at each meeting. During this period, each member of the Audit Committee has been “independent” and “financially literate”, in accordance with National Instrument 52-110, “Audit Committees”.

**Relevant Education and Experience.** Please see the description of the education and experience of each of the Company’s three current Audit Committee members, which is relevant to the performance of his or her responsibilities as an Audit Committee member, under the heading “Directors and Officers”.

**Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures.** Pursuant to its charter, the Audit Committee has the sole authority to pre-approve all non-audit services (including fees, terms and conditions for the performance of such services) to be performed by the external auditors.

**External Auditor Service Fees.** The following table discloses the fees (exclusive of HST and disbursements) billed to the Company by its external auditor in each of the last two financial years:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial Year End</th>
<th>Audit Fees</th>
<th>Audit Related Fees</th>
<th>Tax Fees</th>
<th>All Other Fees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2017</td>
<td>$606,700</td>
<td>$79,887</td>
<td>$44,456</td>
<td>$113,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2016</td>
<td>$551,100</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>$10,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. The aggregate fees billed for audit services, including the preparation of an audit plan, audit of consolidated financial statements and review of the MD&A, preparation of report to Audit Committee and preparation of independent letter.

2. The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the external auditors in connection with the audit of the business (i.e.: inventory counts, preparation of local audited financial statements in Honduras and Brazil).

3. The estimated aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the external auditor in connection with the audit of the business combination under IFRS 3 for the EPP Project.

4. The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the external auditor in connection with the calculation of annual tax returns and review of income tax provision.

5. The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the external consultants in connection with taxes recoveries during 2017 through the application of existing and new tax programs (VAT, PIS COFINS and similar) offered by the Honduran and Brazilian governments.

6. The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the external auditor in connection the review of the transaction related to the EPP Project.

Audit Committee Charter

The text of the Audit Committee’s charter is reproduced below:

1. PURPOSE

The Audit Committee (the “Committee”) shall assist the Board in its oversight of the financial reporting process, the independent external auditor, independent internal audit personnel, risk management and compliance with applicable laws, rules and regulations.

1. STRUCTURE AND OPERATIONS

The Committee shall be composed of not less than three directors, all of whom shall be independent and financially literate as defined in Multilateral Instrument 52-110, Audit Committees.

Members of the Committee shall be appointed or reappointed at the meeting of the Board, immediately following the AGM, and in the normal course of business will serve a minimum of three years. Each member shall continue to be a member of the Committee until a successor is appointed, unless the member resigns, is removed or ceases to be a director. The Board may fill a vacancy that occurs in the Committee at any time.

The Board or, in the event of its failure to do so, the members of the Committee, shall appoint or reappoint, at the meeting of the Board immediately following the AGM, a chairman among their number. The chairman shall serve as a liaison between the Committee and Management.

Meetings of the Committee shall be held at least quarterly, provided that due notice is given and a quorum of the majority of the members is present. Where a meeting is not possible, resolutions in writing which are signed by all members of the Committee are as valid as if they had been passed at a duly held meeting. The frequency and nature of the meeting agendas are dependent upon business matters and affairs which the Company faces from time to time.

The Committee shall report to the Board on its activities after each of its meetings. In addition, it shall review and assess the adequacy of this charter annually and, where necessary, recommend changes to the Board for approval. The Committee shall undertake and review with the Board an annual performance evaluation of the Committee.

1. SPECIFIC DUTIES

1. Oversight of the External Auditor and Internal Audit Personnel

1. Recommend to the Board the external auditor to be nominated and the compensation to be paid for preparing and issuing an auditor’s report or performing related work.
2. Direct responsibility for overseeing the work of the external auditor (including resolution of disagreements between Management and the external auditor regarding financial reporting) for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or related work. The external auditor shall report directly to the Committee.
3. Sole authority to pre-approve all audit services as well as non-audit services (including the fees, terms and conditions for the performance of such services) to be performed by the external auditor.

4. Evaluate the qualifications, performance and independence of the external auditor, including (i) reviewing and evaluating the lead partner on the external auditor's engagement with the Company, and (ii) considering whether the auditor's quality controls are adequate and the provision of permitted non-audit services is compatible with maintaining the auditor's independence.

5. Receive the reports of the internal audit personnel and external auditors, review and assess the findings and the responses and actions taken or proposed by Management.

6. Obtain and review a report from the external auditor at least annually regarding: the external auditor's internal quality-control procedures; any material issues raised by the most recent internal quality-control review, or peer review, of the firm, or by any inquiry or investigation by governmental or professional authorities within the preceding five years respecting one or more external audits carried out by the firm; any steps taken to deal with any such issues; and all relationships between the external auditor and the Company.

7. Review and discuss with Management and the external auditor, prior to the annual audit, the scope, planning and staffing of the annual audit.

8. Review and approve the rotation of the lead (or coordinating) audit partner having primary responsibility for the external audit activities and the audit partner responsible for reviewing the statutory audit as required by law.

9. Review, if applicable, the Company's intended hiring of partners and employees or former partners and employees of the external auditor.

10. Ensure that the emphasis of the audits (external and internal) is placed on areas where the Committee, Management or the auditors believe special attention is warranted.

11. Review the activities, organizational structure and effectiveness of the internal audit personnel.

12. Review and approve the planned internal audit program prior to the beginning of each year.

13. Act as a conduit whereby the internal audit personnel and external auditors can bring any concerns to the attention of the Board.

1. **Financial Reporting**

   1. Review and discuss with Management and the external auditor the annual audited financial statements and quarterly financial statements prior to publication.

   2. Review and discuss with Management the Company's annual and quarterly disclosures made in Management's Discussion and Analysis. The Committee shall approve any reports for inclusion in the Company's Annual Report, as required by applicable legislation.

   3. Review and discuss with Management, the internal audit personnel and the external auditor Management's report on its assessment of internal controls over financial reporting.

   4. Review and discuss with Management and the external auditor at least annually significant financial reporting issues and judgments made in connection with the preparation of the Company's financial statements, including any significant changes in the Company's selection or application of accounting principles, any major issues as to the adequacy of the Company's internal controls and any special steps adopted in light of material control deficiencies.

   5. Review and discuss with Management and the external auditor at least annually reports from the external auditors on: critical accounting policies and practices to be used; significant financial reporting issues, estimates and judgments made in connection with the preparation of the financial statements; alternative treatments of financial information within generally accepted accounting principles that have been discussed with Management, ramifications of the use of such alternative disclosures and treatments, and the treatment preferred by the external auditor; and other material written communications between the external auditor and Management, such as any management letter or schedule of unadjusted differences.

   6. Discuss with the external auditor at least annually any "Management" or "internal control" letters issued or proposed to be issued by the external auditor to the Company.

   7. Review and discuss with Management, the internal audit personnel and the external auditor at least annually any significant changes to the Company's accounting principles and practices suggested by the external auditor, internal audit personnel or Management as well as the procedures undertaken in connection with the CEO and the Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") certifications for the annual filings with applicable securities regulatory authorities.

   8. When applicable, discuss with Management the Company's quarterly and annual press releases disclosing earnings and other financial information, including the use of "pro forma" or "adjusted" non-GAAP information, as well as financial information and earnings guidance (if any) provided to analysts and rating agencies.
9. Review and discuss with Management and the external auditor, if applicable, at least annually the effect of regulatory and accounting initiatives as well as off-balance sheet structures on the Company's financial statements.

10. Review disclosures made by the Company's President and CEO and CFO during their certification process for the annual filing with applicable securities regulatory authorities about any significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the Company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data or any material weaknesses in the internal controls, and any fraud involving Management or other employees who have a significant role in the Company's internal controls.

11. Discuss with the Company's General Counsel at least annually any legal matters that may have a material impact on the financial statements, operations, assets or compliance policies and any material reports or inquiries received by the Company or any of its subsidiaries from regulators or governmental agencies.

1. **Oversight of Risk Management**

Review and discuss periodically the Company's risk philosophy and risk management policies.

1. **Oversight of Regulatory Compliance**

1. Establish procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Company regarding accounting, internal controls or auditing matters, and the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters.

2. Discuss with Management and the external auditor at least annually any correspondence with regulators or governmental agencies and any published reports which raise material issues regarding the Company's financial statements or accounting.

3. Meet with the Company's regulators, according to applicable law.

4. Exercise such other powers and perform such other duties and responsibilities as are incidental to the purposes, duties and responsibilities specified herein and as may from time to time be delegated to the Committee by the Board.

1. **Retention and Funding of Independent Advisors**

The Company shall provide for appropriate funding, as determined by the Committee, for payment of compensation to the external auditor for the purpose of issuing an audit report and performing related work. The Committee shall also have the authority to retain such other independent advisors as it may from time to time deem necessary or advisable for its purposes and the payment of compensation therefore shall also be funded by the Company.